Physiological and behavioural resistance of malaria vectors in rural West-Africa : a data mining study to address their fine-scale spatiotemporal heterogeneity, drivers, and predictability

Paul Taconet^{1,\$}, Dieudonne Diloma Soma², Barnabas Zogo³, Karine Mouline¹, Frederic Simard¹, Alphonsine Amanan Koffi³, Roch Kounbobr Dabire², Cedric Pennetier^{1,2} and Nicolas Moiroux¹

¹MIVEGEC, IRD, CNRS, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France
 ²Institut de Recherche en Sciences de La Sante (IRSS), Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso
 ³Institut Pierre Richet (IPR), Bouake, Cote d'Ivoire
 ^{\$}corresponding author - paul.taconet@ird.fr

ABSTRACT

Insecticide resistance and behavioural adaptation of malaria mosquitoes affect the efficacy of long-lasting 2 insecticide nets - currently the main tool for malaria vector control. To develop and deploy complementary, 3 efficient and cost-effective control interventions, a good understanding of the drivers of these physiological 4 and behavioural traits is needed. In this data-mining exercise, we modelled a set of indicators of physiological 5 resistance to insecticide (prevalence of three target-site mutations) and behavioural resistance phenotypes 6 (early- and late-biting, exophagy) of anopheles mosquitoes in two rural areas of West-Africa, located in 7 Burkina Faso and Cote d'Ivoire. To this aim, we used mosquito field collections along with heterogeneous, 8 multi-source and multi-scale environmental data. The objectives were i) to assess the small-scale spatial and 9 temporal heterogeneity of physiological resistance to insecticide and behavioural resistance phenotypes, 10 ii) to better understand their drivers, and iii) to assess their spatio-temporal predictability, at scales that 11 are consistent with operational action. The explanatory variables covered a wide range of potential 12 environmental determinants of vector resistance to insecticide or behavioural resistance phenotypes : vector 13 control, human availability and nocturnal behaviour, macro and micro-climatic conditions, landscape, etc. 14 The resulting models revealed many statistically significant associations, although their predictive powers 15 were overall weak. We interpreted and discussed these associations in light of several topics of interest, such 16 as : respective contribution of public health and agriculture in the selection of physiological resistances, 17 biological costs associated with physiological resistances, biological mechanisms underlying biting behaviour, 18 and impact of micro-climatic conditions on the time or place of biting. To our knowledge, our work is the first 19 modeling insecticide resistance and feeding behaviour of malaria vectors at such fine spatial scale with such 20 a large dataset of both mosquito and environmental data. 21

²²

Keywords: malaria, anopheles, insectide resistance, behavioural adaptation, exophagy, early-biting, late-biting, spatiotemporal distribu tion, statistical modeling, data mining

25 Introduction

Malaria remains a major public health concern in Africa, with 234 million cases and 593 000 death over the 26 continent in 2021 (WHO, 2022). After years of steady reduction in the disease transmission mainly due to 27 the scale-up of vector control (VC) interventions (in particular insecticide-based tools such as long lasting 28 insecticide nets (LLIN) and indoor residual spraying (IRS)) (Bhatt et al., 2015), progress is now stalling since 29 2015 (WHO, 2022). Involved in such worrying trends are a combination of biological, environmental and 30 socio-economical factors. The mosquito biology, with the buildup of adaptive changes in the mosquito vectors 31 populations enabling them to avoid or circumvent the lethal effects of insecticides, is most likely playing a very 32 important contribution (Killeen, 2014). These changes are framed as vector resistance to insecticides. As a 33 consequence of the widespread use of insecticides (in agriculture and public health), vector resistance has 34 arisen rapidly in malaria vectors in many areas of Africa and above (Durnez and Coosemans, 2013; Riveron 35 et al., 2018); and as previously indicated, is now at such level that it compromises the effectiveness of the 36 most efficient malaria control interventions (Gatton et al., 2013; Hemingway et al., 2016; Killeen, 2014; Sokhna 37 et al., 2013). Complementary and locally-tailored VC strategies taking into account the great diversity of vectors 38 resistance mechanisms (see below) are therefore needed to target these vectors contributing to residual 39 malaria transmission (Corbel and N'Guessan, 2013; Durnez and Coosemans, 2013; Hemingway et al., 2016; 40 Moiroux, 2012; Riveron et al., 2018; Sokhna et al., 2013; WHO, 2017). 41 42 Vector resistances to insecticide are usually split into two categories : physiological and behavioural resistance 43 (Lockwood et al., 1984; Sokhna et al., 2013). Physiological resistance refers to biochemical and morphological 44 mechanisms (e.g. target-site modifications, metabolic resistance, cuticular thickness) that enable the mosquito 45 to withstand the effects of insecticide despite its contact with it (Davidson, 1957). Among the physiological 46 resistances, the target-site mutations L1014F (kdr-w) (Martinez-Torres et al., 1998), L1014S (kdr-e) (Ranson et al., 47 2000), and G119S (ace-1) (Weill et al., 2004), conferring insecticide resistance to pyrethroids (kdr-w and kdr-e) and to carbamates and organophosphates (*qce-1*), have been extensively described. behavioural resistance, 49 on its side, refers to any modification of mosquito behaviour that facilitates avoidance or circumvention 50 of insecticides (Carrasco et al., 2019; Gatton et al., 2013; Riveron et al., 2018). behavioural resistance of 51 mosquitoes to insecticides can be qualitative (i.e. modifications that prevent or limit the contact with the 52 insecticide) or quantitative (i.e. modifications that stop, limit or reduce insecticide action once contact has 53 occurred, e.g. escaping, behavioural thermoregulation or curative self-medication) (Carrasco et al., 2019). 54 Up-to-date, the behavioural resistance mechanisms described in the literature are mainly qualitative and 55

⁵⁶ consist in spatial, temporal, or trophic avoidance. In particular, in the anopheline populations, the following
 ⁵⁷ behavioural qualitative resistance mechanisms have been described after the scale-up of insecticide-based

⁵⁸ VC tools (Durnez and Coosemans, 2013) : i) increase of exophagic or exophilic behaviours (spatial avoidance),

where mosquitoes shifted from biting or resting indoor to outdoor, ii) increase of early- or late-biting behaviours
 (temporal avoidance), where mosquitoes shifted from biting at night to earlier in the evening or later in the

morning, iii) increase of zoophagic behaviours (trophic avoidance), where mosquitoes shifted from biting on

⁶² humans to biting on animals.

63

To help develop and deploy complementary VC strategies that are efficient and cost-effective, a better understanding of the spatiotemporal distribution and drivers of both vector physiological resistance and feeding behaviour is needed at a local scale. We raise here a set of questions that, among others, must be explored further at local scale towards this aim :

68

⁶⁹ > What is the respective contribution of public health and agriculture in the selection of physiological

resistances in Anopheles vectors ? The molecular and genetic basis of physiological resistance has been widely acknowledged: under the pressure of insecticides, mutations that enable the vectors to survive are

⁷¹ widely acknowledged: under the pressure of insecticides, mutations that enable the vectors to survive are
 ⁷² naturally selected and then spread over the generations (Labbé et al., 2017; Martinez-Torres et al., 1998). The

main force that governs the selection of a physiological mechanism of resistance in a population of insects is
therefore the pressure induced by insecticide exposure. This pressure can be induced by the vector control
tools, or by the runoff of pesticides used in agriculture (in many cases, the same as those used for impregnation
of bed nets) into the malaria vectors breeding sites (Chandre et al., 1999; Hien et al., 2017; Reid and McKenzie,
2016; Yadouleton et al., 2011). Assessing the relative contribution of these two pressures on the selection of
resistant phenotypes is critical to further predict the relative impacts of public health and agriculture on the
growth of physiological resistances and act consequently.

80

> What are the biological mechanisms underlying behavioural resistances ? Contrary to physiological 81 resistance, the biological mechanisms underlying behavioural resistance are still poorly known (Carrasco et al., 82 2019; Durnez and Coosemans, 2013; Killeen, 2014; Main et al., 2016). In particular, a pending guestion, having 83 important implications for vector control, is whether behavioural shifts reflect evolutionary adaptations in 84 response to selection pressures from vector control tools, as for physiological resistances (constitutive resistance) 85 or are manifestations of pre-existing phenotypic plasticity which is triggered when facing the insecticide or 86 in response to environmental variation that reduces human host availability (inducible resistance). Inducible 87 resistance imply that vectors rapidly revert to baseline behaviours when VC interventions are lifted, whereas 88 constitutive resistance might progressively and durably erode the effectiveness of current VC tools. Under-89 standing the biological mechanisms underlying behavioural resistances is therefore important to assess the 90 long-term efficacy of insecticide-based VC interventions. 91

92 93

> Are mosquito biting behaviours modulated by local-scale environmental conditions other than insecticide-

related ones ? As aforementioned, the overall rise of behavioural resistances is likely caused by the widespread 94 of insecticide-based vector control interventions. However, local environmental conditions can modulate vector 95 behaviours at the time of foraging activity. Local climatic conditions – e.g. wind, rain, temperature, humidity, 96 luminosity - may for example affect the timing and location of vector biting, as it has been noted in some 97 studies (Kirby and Lindsay, 2004; Kreppel et al., 2020; Ngowo et al., 2017). Mosquitoes with natural endophagic 98 / endophilic preferences might, for example, bite or rest outside if temperature inside is too high or humidity 99 too low, in order to decrease their risk of desiccation-related mortality (Kreppel et al., 2020; Ngowo et al., 100 2017). Land cover, as well, can affect biting rhythms. It has been noted for example that distance to breeding 101 sites may influence nocturnal host-seeking behaviour, with vectors biting on average earlier in the night in 102 households located close to the breeding sites (Njan Nloga et al., 1993; Snow and Gilles, 2002). Assessing 103 whether and to which extent behavioural resistance traits are influenced by local environmental (climatic or 104 landscape) settings may help design VC tools exploiting the vulnerabilities of vectors. 105

106

> Are there associations between behavioural and physiological resistances ? Physiological and be-107 havioural resistances may likely coexist in mosquito populations, with the first possibly influencing the second. 108 In fact, physiologically resistant mosquitoes may, theoretically, use the recognition of insecticide-based control 109 tool as a proxy for host presence (framed as *behavioural exploitation* (Carrasco et al., 2019)). Several studies have 110 actually showed that the kdr mutation can modify the host-seeking or biting behaviour of Anopheles in presence 111 of insecticide-treated net (Diop, Moiroux, et al., 2015; Diop, Chandre, et al., 2021; Porciani et al., 2017). Such 112 behavioural exploitation could potentially lead to a better host recognition/localization and have a dramatic 113 impact, with the control intervention having the opposite effect to the one expected. It is hence important to 114 assess if and to which extent physiologically resistant mosquitoes exhibit different biting behaviours than their 115 susceptible counterparts. 116

117

> Which adaptative strategy (physiological or behavioural resistance) arises faster ? Understanding the
 relative capacity of mosquitoes to develop physiological resistance and to shift their behaviour in response to
 vector control is necessary to highlight where and when mitigation efforts should be prioritized (Sanou et al.,

2021). After introduction / re-introduction of insecticide-based tools, if vectors rapidly shift their behaviour to 121 feed outside or at times when people are not protected by an LLIN, interventions that target such mosquitoes 122 should be quickly deployed. In contrast, the rapid emergence of physiological resistance in vectors who 123 continue to feed indoors and at night indicates that switching to alternative insecticide classes in indoor-based 124 interventions may have a greater impact. Additionally, for a given environment, assessing the relative rate of 125 selection of physiological and behavioural resistances is of direct epidemiological importance ; it has been 126 showed for example that under a scenario where LLIN and IRS are both heavily used, changes in the susceptibil-127 ity to insecticide is likely to have a bigger epidemiological impact than changes in biting times (Sherrard-Smith 128 et al., 2019). 129

> Are resistance rates heterogeneous at small spatiotemporal scales ? Mosquito presence and abundance 131 has already been found heterogeneous in space and time at fine-scale, calling for locally-tailored (species-, 132 season-, and village-specific) control interventions (Moiroux, Bio-Bangana, et al., 2013; Moiroux, Diènontin, 133 et al., 2014: Taconet, Porciani, et al., 2021). However, little is known about the small-scale spatiotemporal 134 heterogeneity of vector resistance. The potential drivers of the selection or triggering of resistant phenotypes 135 (vector control use, land cover, micro-climate, human behaviour, etc.) are likely to vary at small spatiotem-136 poral scales, and so may, at the end of the line, vector resistance. As for abundances, assessing the level of 137 heterogeneity of resistance rates in space and time is important to assess the spatiotemporal scale at which 138 management of vector resistance should be considered. 139

140

130

> To what extent can we explain and predict vector resistance and biting behaviour in space and time ? 141 Assessing the levels of explainability and predictability of vector resistance and biting behaviour is important 142 for both scientific and operational purposes. Towards this aim, generating statistical models linking vector 143 resistances or biting behaviours to their potential drivers and assessing their explanatory and predictive powers 144 can help (Shmueli, 2010; Shmueli and Koppius, 2010). High explanatory or predictive powers in the models 145 might suggest that the conditions driving a vector to resist are well understood, and conversely, low explanatory 146 powers might suggest that resistances are driven by factors either yet undiscovered or not included in the 147 models. Additionally, assessing the predictability of resistances in vector populations in space and time is an 148 important step towards mapping vector resistance at every place (e.g. village) and time (e.g. season) in the 149 area, with such decision-support tools important to deploy the right intervention, at the right place and time 150 (Taconet, Porciani, et al., 2021). 151

152

In this study, we used field mosquito collections and environmental data collected simultaneously in two 153 rural areas of West-Africa to bring elements of answer to these questions for our areas. Guided by these 154 questions, our overall objectives were i) to assess the fine-scale prevalence and spatiotemporal heterogeneity 155 of physiological resistances and at-risk biting behaviours of malaria vectors in these areas and ii) to better 156 understand their drivers. To do so, we modeled a set of indicators of physiological resistances and behavioural 157 resistance phenotypes (namely kdr-w, kdr-e, gce-1 target-site mutations, exophagy, early-biting, and late-158 biting) at the individual mosquito level using this fine-grained dataset and advanced statistical methods in an 159 exploratory and holistic-inductive approach. Patterns found in the data were interpreted and discussed in 160 light of the topics aforementioned, of importance for the management of malaria residual transmission. We 161 concluded with a set of recommendations to manage vector resistances in our study areas. 162

163 Methods

164 Entomological and environmental data

The data used in this work were collected in the frame of the REACT project (Soma, Zogo, Somé, et al., 2020; 165 Zogo, DD Soma, et al., 2019). In this projet, a total of fifty-five villages, distributed in two West-African rural 166 areas (~ 2500 squared km each) located in the areas of Diébougou (southwestern Burkina Faso (BF)) and 167 Korhogo (northern lvory Coast (IC)) were selected according to the following criteria: accessibility during the 168 rainy season, 200–500 inhabitants per village, and distance between two villages higher than two kilometers. 169 After an exhaustive census of the population in these villages at the beginning of the project, entomological 170 and human behaviours surveys were regularly conducted during 15 months (1.25 year) in the Diébougou 171 area and 18 months (1.5 year) in the Korhogo area. Vector control interventions were implemented both as 172 part of the project and of the national malaria control programs (see below). Figure 1 shows the study areas 173 and the corresponding timelines for data collection and vector control interventions. The data table available 174 in Moiroux, Pennetier, et al. (2023) lists the villages included in the study: names, geographic coordinates, 175 vector control interventions implemented in each village. Entomological data were collected in the field, and 176 environmental data were collated from specific devices (see below) or created from heterogeneous field and 177







Figure 1. A/ Map showing the study areas and the villages where entomological collections were performed ; B/ Timeline for vector control interventions and data collection in the villages. Each color corresponds to a different type of data collected or vector control intervention implemented. The anopheles and human behavioural surveys are numbered.

180 > Anopheles collections

181

Several rounds of mosquito collections (eight in the Korhogo (IC) area, seven in the Diébougou (BF) area) 182 were conducted in each village. The periods of the surveys span the typical climatic conditions of these tropical 183 areas (except the peak of the rainy season - July to September) (see Additional file 1.A for the spatiotemporal 184 trends of the meteorological conditions). Mosquitoes were collected using the Human Landing Catch (HLC) 185 technique from 17:00 to 09:00 both indoors and outdoors at four sites per village (i.e. eight collection points) 186 for one night during each survey. The distance between indoor and outdoor collection points was at least 187 10 meters to minimize competition between mosquito collectors (Coffinet et al., 2009). Malaria vectors were 188 identified using morphological keys. All individuals belonging to the Anopheles funestus group (in both study 189 areas) and Anopheles gambiae s.l. complex (in BF) were identified to the species level using PCR. In IC, due to 190 the very large numbers of An. gambiae s.l. vectors collected, a sub-sample only of these individuals (randomly 191 selected in space and time) was identified to species. Finally, in BF, PCR assay were carried out on all the An. 192 gambiae s.s. and An. coluzzii collected to detect the L1014F (kdr-w), the L1014S (kdr-e) and the G119S (ace-1) 193 target-site mutations. In IC, also due to the large numbers of individuals collected, a subsample only of the 194 *An. gambiae s.l.* were genotyped for the L1014F and G119S mutations. Due to the significant risk of bias 195 associated with the sub-sampling strategy (not all villages were sampled in all surveys), we excluded these data 196 from the analysis. Detailed descriptions of the methods used to obtain these data are provided in Taconet, 197 DD Soma, et al. (2023). These data were published in the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) (D Soma 198 et al., 2023). 199 200

200

> Data on weather preceding mosquito collections and during mosquito collections

202

Weather can impact the fitness or the activity of resistant genotypes (Kliot and Ghanim, 2012), as well as 203 the biting behaviour of the mosquitoes (see Introduction). In this work, we recorded or retrieved weather 204 conditions : (i) during mosquito collections (i.e. the HLC sessions), (ii) during the day of collection, and (iii) 205 during the month preceding collection. Weather on the day of collection and during mosquito collection may 206 impact the relative activity of each genotype and phenotypes associated with resistances. Weather during 207 the month preceding the survey, on its side, can impact development and survival rates of both the current 208 and parental generations of collected mosquitoes (Carnevale et al., 2009; Holstein, 1952; Townson, 1993). 209 Regarding our outputs (prevalence of behavioural phenotypes and target-site mutations - see next section), 210 weather during the month preceding collection may therefore impact the fitness of the studied genotypes (for 211 target-site mutations) or possible – and unknown - genotypes associated with studied behavioural phenotypes. 212 213 Micro-climatic conditions (temperature, relative humidity, luminosity and atmospheric pressure) were si-214 multaneously recorded where mosquito collections were being conducted. Instruments used to record these

215 data were : for temperature and relative humidity : Hygro Buttons 23 Data Loggers [Proges Plus DAL0084] 216 (temporal resolution (TR): 15 minutes) : for luminosity : HOBO Pendant® Temperature/Light 8K Data Logger 217 (TR: 15 minutes) : for atmospheric pressure : Extech SD700 Data Loggers (TR : 10 minutes). Hygro and Hobo 218 loggers were positioned both inside and outside the houses where mosquito sampling was conducted, close 219 to the sampling positions. The barometer was positioned at the center of the village. These field data were 220 completed with satellite or modeled data available at coarse spatial but high temporal resolutions : rain-221 fall (spatial resolution (SR) : ~ 11 km, TR : 30 min, source : Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) IMERG 222 (GSFC, 2019), wind speed (SR : ~ 28 km,TR : 1h, source : ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2020)), apparent magnitude 223 of the Moon (SR: 0.001 degrees, TR: 1 day, source : Institute of celestial mechanics and ephemeris calculations). 224 225

Meteorological conditions on the day of collection and over one month preceding collection were extracted from satellite imagery. Namely, rainfall estimates were extracted from the GPM - IMERG daily Final products (Center, 2019). Diurnal and nocturnal temperatures were derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging
 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) daily Land Surface Temperature (LST) Terra and Aqua products (Wan et al., 2015a,b).
 These data were then cropped and averaged in 2-km buffer zones around each HLC collection point. From
 this, variables representing meteorological conditions on the day of collection and over one month preceding
 collection were constructed (for the latter, by averaging the 30-day time series). Detailed descriptions of the
 methods used to collect and process these data are provided in Taconet, Porciani, et al. (2021).

235

> Data on host availability and human behaviour

236

The nocturnal behaviour of humans (hours inside the dwellings, hours of use of LLINs) drives host avail-237 ability for the mosquitoes and can therefore impact their behaviour. For instance, high LLIN use rate can 238 drive mosquitoes to feed outside, at times when people are not protected, or on alternative sources of blood 239 (Durnez and Coosemans, 2013). Here, human population was counted in each village, through an exhaustive 240 census conducted at the beginning of the project. Then, several human behavioural surveys (two in IC, three in 241 BF) were carried out in each village (see Figure 1). For each survey and village, several households (mean = 14, 242 SD = 2) were randomly selected, and for each household, one to three persons in each age class (0-5 years old, 243 6-17 years old and > 18 years old) were selected. The head of the household was then asked, for each selected 244 person, on the night preceding the survey : i) whether he/she used an LLIN or not, ii) the time at which he/she 245 entered and exited his own house, and iii) the time at which he/she entered and exited his LLIN-protected 246 sleeping space (where appropriate). Households for human behavioural surveys were independently selected 247 from households for entomological surveys. The surveys were conducted after the distribution of the LLINs 248 (see below), and span the typical climatic conditions of the areas. Detailed descriptions of the methods used to 249 collect these data are provided in Soma, Zogo, Taconet, et al. (2021). 250

252 > Landscape data

253

251

Landscape can have an impact on mosquito foraging behaviour (e.g. the distance to breeding sites can 254 impact biting rhythms) or physiological resistance (e.g. through pesticides used in crops) (see Introduction). 255 Digital land cover maps were produced for each study area by carrying out a Geographic Object-Based Image 256 Analysis (Hay and Castilla, 2008) using multisource very high and high resolution satellite-derived products. 257 From these maps, several variables were derived : the percentage of landscape occupied respectively by cotton 258 fields, by rice fields, and by the other crops (mainly leguminous crops, millet, sorghum) in a 2 km buffer size area 259 around each collection point; and the distance to the nearest stream (as a proxy for the distance to potential 260 breeding sites, as shown in other studies conducted in these areas (Taconet, Porciani, et al., 2021; Zogo, Koffi, 261 et al., 2019)). For cotton, the variable was binarized as presence / absence of cotton cultivated due to the small 262 range of values. In addition, the geographical location of the households was recorded, and used to derive 263 two indices : the degree of clustering of the households in each village, and the distance from each collection 264 point to the edge of the village. The land cover maps along with detailed descriptions of the methods used 265 to generate them are available at Taconet, Koffi Amanan, et al. (2023) and Taconet, Dabiré, et al. (2023). The 266 methods used to compute the statistical variables from these data are detailed in Taconet, Porciani, et al. (2021). 267

268

269 > Vector control

270

Repeated exposure to insecticides used in vector control interventions is undoubtedly one of the most important drivers of the selection of resistance (see Introduction). In both Burkina Faso and Ivory Coast, LLINs have been universally distributed every 3-4 years since 2010 (PNLP, 2014a,b). In BF, a mass distribution of LLINs (PermaNet 2.0) was carried out by the National Malaria Control Program in July 2016 (i.e. 6 months before our first entomological survey). In IC, our team distributed LLINs in the villages of the project in June 2017 (i.e. height months after the first entomological survey and ten months before the last one). Complementary VC tools
were implemented in some of the villages in the middle of the project - namely IRS, ivermectin to peri-domestic
animals (IVM), intensive Information Education and Communication to the populations (IEC), and larval control
(Larv.) as part of a randomized controlled trial aiming at assessing the benefits of new, complementary VC
strategies (Soma, Zogo, Somé, et al., 2020; Zogo, DD Soma, et al., 2019) (see Figure 1, and Additional file 1
available online at this URL (along with the other supplementary material) : https://doi.org/10.23708/VJEEMU
(Taconet, D Soma, et al., 2023b)).

283

284 Statistical analyses

285 Dependent and independant variables

286 Six indicators of potential vector resistance to insecticides were modelled :

• three indicators of physiological resistance to insecticide : *kdr-w* mutation, *kdr-e* mutation, *ace-1* mutation,

three indicators of behavioural resistance phenotypes : early biting, late biting, exophagy. Here, it is
 unknown whether changes in prevalence of studied mosquito behaviours are the result of constitutive
 resistances (i.e. inherited traits selected by the insecticide pressure) or of inducible resistance (that rely
 on phenotypic plasticity). The latter does not fit an accepted definition of insecticide resistance that
 rely on the inheritance property [@Zalucki2017]. Therefore in the remainder of this manuscript, we
 will qualify the three studied phenotypes, possibly constitutive or inducible, as 'behavioural resistance

²⁹⁴ phenotypes'.

Exophagy was defined as the probability for a host-seeking mosquito to bite outdoor (as opposed to indoor). 295 Early biting was defined as the probability for a host-seeking mosquito to bite before 50 % of the LLIN users 296 were declared to be under their bednet in the evening, and late biting was defined as the probability for a 297 host-seeking mosquito to bite after 50 % of the LLIN users were declared to be out of their bednet in the 298 morning (based on the closest - in space and time - human behaviour survey). Kdr-w, kdr-e and ace-1 mutations 299 were defined as the probabilities for an allele of a host-seeking mosquito to be mutated (as opposed to 300 wild type). The statistical unit was therefore the mosquito for biting behaviour models and the allele for 301 physiological resistance models. Dependent variables were all binary (0 = absence of resistance/mutation, 302 1 = presence of resistance/mutation) and models outcomes were probabilities for a mosquito (resp. allele) 303 to be resistant (resp. mutated). Each indicator was modeled separately for each main species in each study 304 area, as determinants of resistance might be species- or site-specific (i.e. mosquitoes might respond differently 305 to environmental variations depending on the species and study area, due to potential local chromosomal 306 forms, adaptation, etc.) (Durnez and Coosemans, 2013; Riveron et al., 2018). As three main species were found 307 in BF and two in IC (see Results section), a total of twenty-one dependent variables were built (exophagy : 3 308 in BF and 2 in IC; early biting: 3 in BF and 2 in IC; late biting: 3 in BF and 2 in IC; kdr-w: 2 in BF; kdr-e: 2 309 in BF; ace-1: 2 in BF). Based on literature (see Introduction) and available data, we then built independent 310 variables representing potential determinants of each of these resistant phenotypes. These variables are 311 provided in Table 1. To build these variables, the source data were possibly aggregated in space or time, at 312 varying resolutions depending on the considered dependent variable. For example, we constructed a binary 313 variable "Rainfall during collection" (presence/absence of rainfall during the hour of collection) by summing the 314 source data available at a 30-minutes temporal resolution and then applying a threshold (> 0 mm of rainfall 315 = presence, otherwise absence). Replication data are available online at https://doi.org/10.23708/LV8GEW 316 (Taconet, D Soma, et al., 2023a). 317

318

319

Table 1. Independant variables built and their inclusion in the statistical models. A cross ('x') indicates that the independent variable (in row) was used as an input in the model (in column). The source data are described in the 'Entomological and environmental data' section of the manuscript, and the binomial statistical models are described in the 'Statistical analyses' section. Some dependent or independent variables, mentioned with a *, were available only in the BF study area.

	Statistical models (dependent variables)					
	Behaviour	Physiological resistance ‡				
Independent variables (in bold : 'family' of variables and source data)	Exophagy	Early biting	Late biting	Kdr-e*	Ace-1*	
Vector control						
Vector control tool implemented in the village	x	х	х	x	х	х
Time since last distribution of LLIN (months)	x	х	Х	x	х	х
Host availability (source : human behavioural surveys)						
Number of inhabitants in the village	x	х	х	x	х	х
% of the population using an LLIN in the village on the season of collection	x	х	х	x	х	х
% of the population indoor (i.e. inside their houses) in the village on the hour of collection	x			x	х	х
% of the population under an LLIN in the village on the hour of collection	x			x	х	х
Vector resistance / behaviour (source : molecular analyses)						
Kdr-e mutation status in the collected mosquito*	x	х	х			х
Kdr-w mutation status in the collected mosquito*	x	х	х		х	х
Ace-1 mutation status in the collected mosquito*					х	
Place of collection of the collected mosquito (indoors or outdoors)		х	Х			
Micro-climatic conditions during collection (source : weather data loggers)						
Temperature (°C)	x		х	x	х	х
Humidity (%)	x		х	x	х	х
Luminosity (Lux)	x		х	x	х	х
Atmospheric pressure (hPa)	x		х	x	х	х
Rainfall (presence/absence)	x		х			
Wind speed outdoor (m/s)	x		х			
Temperature difference between inside and outside the house of collection (°C)	x					
Relative humidity difference between inside and outside the house of collection (%)	x					
Luminosity difference between inside and outside the house of collection (Lux)	x					

Apparent magnitude of the moon on the night of collection (unitless)	Х						
Meteorological conditions the day of collection (source : satellite data)							
Rainfall on the day of collection (mm)	х	х	х	x	х	х	
Diurnal temperature on the day of collection (°C)	х	x	х	х			
Meteorological conditions on the month preceding of collection (source : satellite data)							
Diurnal temperature (average) on the month preceding collection (°C)	the month preceding collection (°C) x x x						
Nocturnal temperature (average) on the month preceding collection (°C)	х	х	х	x	х	х	
Rainfall on the month preceding collection (cumulated mm)	х	Х	х	x	х	х	
Landscape and crops (source : satellite data)							
Degree of clustering of the households in the village) (Clark and Evans aggregation index)	Х	x	х				
Euclidian distance from the collection point to the edge of the village (meters)	х	х	х				
Euclidian distance from the collection point to the nearest river (meters)	х	х	х				
% of landscape occupied by rice fields in a 2 km-buffer size area around the collection point				x	х	х	
Presence / absence of cotton fields in a 2 km-buffer size area around the collection point				x	х	х	
% of landscape occupied by other types of crops in a 2 km-buffer size area around the				x	х	х	
collection point							
Others							
Number of mosquitoes collected at the collection point during the night of collection				x	х	х	
* BF area only							

[†] Statistical unit = collected mosquito

[‡] Statistical unit = allele of collected mosquito

320 Modeling workflow

A graphical representation of the modeling workflow (explained below) is available in Additional figure 2. A replication R script (starting from the section 'Multivariate modeling part 1 : Explanatory model') is available online at this URL : https://doi.org/10.23708/LV8GEW (Taconet, D Soma, et al., 2023a).

324

Pre-processing. First, we excluded from the modeling process those dependent variables that could hardly be modelled due to the combination of very few 'resistant' observations and extreme class imbalance (number of samples from the 'resistant' class « number of samples from the 'sensible' class). The following criteria were used for exclusion: 'resistant' class \leq 50 observations & \leq 3% of the total observations.

- 329
- 330 331

Next, we implemented the modeling workflow described below for each remaining dependent variable.

Bivariate modeling. We first excluded the independent variables that were poorly associated with the 332 dependent variable (criteria for exclusion : p-value > 0.2 of a bivariate Generalized Linear binomial Mixed-effect 333 Model (GLMM) with nested random effects at the village and collection site level). \textcolor{LimeGreen}{Next, 334 we calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient among the retained variables and filtered-out collinear 335 variables (correlation coefficient > 0.7) based on empirical knowledge (for instance, diurnal and nocturnal 336 temperature over the month preceding collection were often correlated and in such case we retained nocturnal 337 temperatures; % of the population indoor and under an LLIN in the village on the hour of collection were often 338 correlated and in such case we retained % of the population under an LLIN).} With the set of remaining inde-339 pendent variables, two distinct multivariate models were built, with complementary objectives, as explained in 340 the Box 1 below. 341

342

Multivariate modeling part 1: Explanatory model. A binomial GLMM was fitted to the data. Nested ran-343 dom effects were introduced in the model at the village and collection place level. Variables were deleted 344 recursively using an automatic backward variable selection procedure based on the reduction of the Akaike 345 Information Criterion (AIC). Variables belonging to the 'vector control' (for all resistance models) and 'crops' 346 (for physiological resistance models only) groups were forced in the multivariate models (i.e. they were not 347 filtered-out in the variable selection procedure) because there are strong a priori assumptions associated 348 with these variables. Such variable selection procedure therefore retained all the 'vector control' and 'crops' 349 variables (whether significantly associated or not with the dependent variable), and the additional variables 350 that decreased the AIC of the multivariate model. 351

352

Multivariate modeling part 2 : Predictive model. We additionally fitted a Random Forest (RF) model (Breiman, 2001a) to the data. The model hyperparameters were optimized using a random 5-combinations grid search (Chicco, 2017). Whenever the dependent variable was imbalanced (more than 1/3 imbalance ratio between the positive and negative class), data were up-sampled within the model resampling procedure to cope with well-known problems of machine-learning (ML) models regarding class imbalance (Tyagi and Mittal, 2020).

359

Assessment of effect sizes and significance of independent variables. To interpret the effect of each in-360 dependent variable in the GLMM model, we plotted, for each independent variable retained in the final 361 model, the predicted probabilities of resistance across available values of that variable (all other things being 362 equals) (i.e. "partial dependence plot" (PDP) (Friedman and Popescu, 2008)). For reporting and discussion 363 in the manuscript, we kept only variables that had a p-value < 0.05 (results containing the 'full' models are 364 provided in supplementary material, see Results section). To uncover the possible complex relationships 365 that the RF model had learned, we generated smoothed versions of PDPs for each independent variable. 366 However, we restricted the generation of PDPs to the following cases : i) the Area Under the Receiver Operating 367

Characteristics (AUC) (see below) of the model was > 0.6 (because model interpretation tools of ML models (e.g. PDPs) should be trusted only if the predictive power of the underlying model is good enough (Zhao and Hastie, 2021)) and ii) the range of predicted probabilities of resistance was > 0.05 (i.e. the independent variable, over its range of available values, changed the probability of resistance by at least 5 percentage points).

Assessment of models performance. The explanatory power of the GLMM was assessed by calculating the 373 marginal coefficient of determination (R^2) (Nakagawa and Schielzeth, 2013) from the observed and in-sample 374 predicted values. Marginal R^2 is a goodness-of-fit metric that measures the overall variance explained by the 375 fixed effects in the GLMM. R^2 values were interpreted according to the criteria defined by Cohen (2013) : R^2 376 \in {0; 0.02{ : very weak ; R² \in {0.02; 0.13{ : weak ; R² \in {0.13; 0.26{ : moderate ; R² \in {0.26; 1} : substantial. 377 The predictive power of the RF model was assessed by leave village - out cross-validation (CV), with the Area 378 under the ROC Curve (AUC) chosen as the performance metric. This CV strategy consisted in recursively 379 leaving-out the observations belonging to one village of collection (i.e. the validation fold), training the model 380 with the observations coming from the other villages (i.e. the training fold), and predicting on the left-out 381 set of observations. We hence measured the ability of the model to predict resistance status ('resistant' or 382 'non-resistant') on individual mosquitoes caught on new - unseen villages of collection. AUC values were 383 interpreted according to the following criteria : AUC \in {0.5; 0.6{ : very weak ; AUC \in {0.6; 0.65{ : weak ; AUC \in 384 $\{0.65; 0.75\}$: moderate ; AUC $\in \{0.75; 1\}$: substantial. 385

386

Box 1 : What is the difference between explanatory and predictive models, and how were they used for inference in this study ?

Explanatory and predictive models serve distinct but complementary functions in the production of scientific knowledge. In statistics, explanatory modeling refers to *«the application of statistical models to data for testing causal hypotheses about theoretical constructs.»* (Shmueli, 2010). Explanatory modeling, commonly used for inference in many scientific disciplines such as biology or epidemiology, is useful to test existing theories and to reach to "statistical" conclusions about causal relationships that exist at the theoretical level, e.g. : vector control significantly impacts vector resistance (or not). Explanatory modeling needs transparent and interpretable models, such as linear of logistic regression, to extract statistical information about the associations contained in the data (e.g. effect size and statistical significance) and further discuss them. On its side, predictive modeling is *«the process of applying a statistical model or data mining algorithm aimed at making empirical predictions, and then assessing its predictive power.»* (Shmueli, 2010). Predictive modeling requires models capable of capturing complex patterns in the data, including interactions and non-linear associations, such as *machine learning* models like random forests or support vector machines. Predictive analytics is typically recognised for its usefulness in practical applications, such as predicting the incidence of diseases. However, it can also play a crucial role in scientific knowledge production. For instance, predictive models can help generate new theories by capturing and revealing potentially complex, unanticipated patterns within the data. They can as well be used to evaluate the overall relevance of a theory, through the interpretation of the predictive power of the models (Shmueli and Koppius, 2010). In a "big data" context like that of this study, with large datasets containing numerous observations and variables, predictive analytics is increasingly used to support scientific theory development (Breiman, 2001b; Karpatne et al., 2017; Shmueli and Koppius, 2010).

In our study, we use explanatory modeling with GLMMs to i) test whether vector control significantly increases vector resistance, as could be expected, and ii) infer the potential determinants of vector resistance and their effect size. We use predictive modeling with RFs to i) account for potential unhypothesized, complex associations between independent and dependent variables, and ii) infer

the overall contribution of the independent variables to the prevalence of vector resistance, allowing at the same time to formulate hypotheses on other potential determinants.

388

Software and libraries used

The softwares used in this work were exclusively free and open source. The R programming language (R Core 390 Team, 2018) and the R-studio environment (RStudio Team, 2020) were used as the main programming tools. 391 The QGIS software (QGIS Development Team, 2021) and the 'ggplot2' R package (Wickham, 2016) were used 392 to create respectively the map of the study area and the timeline for data collection. The 'glmmTMB' (Brooks 393 et al., 2017) package was used for the bivariate modeling. The 'buildmer' package (Voeten, 2020) was used 394 to fit the GLMM models with stepwise selection in the multivariate modeling. The 'caret' (Wing et al., 2018) 395 and 'ranger' (Wright and Ziegler, 2017) packages were used to fit the random forest models in the multivariate 396 modeling. The 'MLmetrics' (Yan, 2016) and 'MuMIn' (Bartoń, 2020) packages were used to calculate respectively 397 the AUC of the RFs and the marginal R^2 of the GLMMs. The 'jtools' (Long, 2020) and 'pdp' (Greenwell, 2017) 398 packages were used to generate the partial dependence plots of respectively the GLMMs and the RFs. The 399 'broom.mixed' (Bolker and Robinson, 2020) package was used to extract the coefficients / odd ratios, confidence 400 intervals and p-values of the multivariate GLMMs. The 'patchwork' (Pedersen, 2019) and 'gridExtra' (Auguie, 401 2017) packages were used to create various plot compositions. The 'tidyverse' meta-package (Wickham, 2017) 402 was used throughout the entire analysis. A few additional R packages were used to create, tidy, and transform 403 the data used in this work (see (Taconet, Porciani, et al., 2021)). The LibreOffice suite was used to create the 404

tables and other plot compositions.

406 **Results**

407 Spatio-temporal heterogeneity of vector abundance

In the Korhogo area (IC), a total of 2048 human-nights of HLC was conducted. A sum of 57722 vectors belonging 408 to the Anopheles genus was collected. The main species/complex found were An. gambiae s.l. and An. funestus 409 (respectively 56267 (97% of all the Anopheles collected) and 714 (1%) individuals collected). Among the 56267 410 An. gambiae s.l. collected, 3922 (7%) were identified to species: 3726 (95% of the individual identified to species) 411 were An. gambiae s.s. and 196 (5%) were An. coluzzii. Hence, in the rest of this article, we will consider the 412 An. gambiae s.l. collected in the Korhogo area as An. gambiae s.s. In the Diébougou area (BF), a total of 1512 413 human-nights of HLC was conducted. A sum of 3056 vectors belonging to the Anopheles genus was collected. 414 The main species found were An. coluzzii, An. gambiae s.s. and An. funestus (respectively 1321 (43% of all 415 the Anopheles collected), 616 (20%) and 708 (23%) individuals collected). As expected, mosquito abundance 416 was heterogeneous in time and space (except for An. funestus in IC, for which the vast majority (93 %) of 417 the individuals was collected in the first entomological survey, and almost half of the individuals (42 %) were 418 collected within one single village) (see additional file 1 and additional figure 3 for maps and charts of the 419 spatiotemporal distribution of vector abundance). 420

421 Spatio-temporal heterogeneity of vector resistance

Table 2 and Figure 2 show, respectively, global and spatiotemporal descriptive statistics on the resistances of

the main vector species collected in the two areas.

424

A | Temporal distribution

1 dot = 1 entomological survey (all villages combined) ribbons = spatial variability for the considered survey dot sizes = % of mosquitoes collected in the considered survey over all the surveys (the biggest, the more) red dashed horizontal line = overall weighted mean (all surveys considered)



B | Spatial distribution

1 br = 1 village (all entomological surveys combined) error bars = temporal variability for the considered village bar colors = % of mosquitoes collected in the considered village over all the villages (the brighter, the more) red dashed horizontal line = overall weighted mean (all villages considered)



Figure 2. (Previous page) Spatio-temporal distributions of the physiological resistances and behavioural resistance phenotypes of the main vector species collected (panel A : temporal distribution, panel B : spatial distribution). For behavioural resistance phenotypes, the y-axis represents the percentage of mosquitoes with resistant phenotypes for the considered survey / village. For physiological resistances, the y-axis represents the allele frequency of the considered mutation for the considered survey / village. Confidence intervals (A : ribbons, B : lineranges) provide indicators of variability of the resistance indicator (A : mean ± standard deviation of the resistance indicator calculated at the village level for the considered entomological survey ; B : mean ± standard deviation of the resistance indicator calculated at the entomological survey level for the considered village). To avoid excessive consideration of small sample sizes, the total number of mosquito collected was represented graphically using the size of dots (A) or the color of the bars (B).

Resistance indicator	Study area	Species	n° collected	n° resistant	% resistant	Temporal confidence interval & range	Spatial confidence interval & range
Exophagy	Korhogo	An. gambiae s.l.	56267	31295	56 %	± 2 % (44 – 60)	± 7 %] (38 – 71)
		An. funestus	714	493	69 %	± 7 % (0 – 100)	± 16 % (0 – 100)
	Diébougou	An. coluzzii	1321	577	44 %	± 5 % (38 – 64)	± 9 % (0 – 100)
		An. gambiae s.s.	616	268	44 %	± 7 % (18 – 56)	± 12 % (0 – 75)
		An. funestus	708	250	35 %	± 6 % (19 – 40)	± 8 % (0 – 100)
Early biting	Korhogo	An. gambiae s.l.	56267	1670	3 %	±1%(1-6)	± 2 % (0 – 10)
		An. funestus	714	92	13 %	± 6 % (0 – 100)	± 12 % (0 – 100)
	Diébougou	An. coluzzii	1321	28	2 %	± 1 % (0 – 4)	± 2 % (0 – 75)
		An. gambiae s.s.	616	19	3 %	± 1 % (0 – 6)	± 3 % (0 – 14)
		An. funestus	708	9	1 %	± 1 % (0 – 2)	± 4 % (0 – 100)
Late biting	Korhogo	An. gambiae s.l.	56267	499	1 %	±0% (0 – 1)	± 1 % (0 – 9)
		An. funestus	714	4	1 %	±1% (0 – 12)	± 1 % (0 – 7)
	Diebougou	An. coluzzii	1321	46	3 %	± 3 % (0 – 14)	± 3 % (0 – 14)
		An. gambiae s.s.	616	8	1 %	± 3 % (0 – 11)	± 5 % (0 – 100)
		An. funestus	708	82	12 %	± 3 % (0 – 22)	± 10 % (0 – 100)
Kdr-w mutation	Diébougou	An. coluzzii	1321	NA	59 %	± 5 % (55 – 69)	± 8 % (12 – 100)
		An. gambiae s.s.	616	NA	90 %	± 8 % (59 – 100)	± 9 % (68 – 100)
Kdr-e mutation		An. coluzzii	1321	NA	17 %	± 8 % (0 – 43)	± 10 % (0 – 50)
		An. gambiae s.s.	616	NA	4 %	±4% (0 – 19)	± 4 % (0 – 17)
Ace-1 mutation		An. coluzzii	1321	NA	2 %	± 1 % (0 – 7)	± 1 % (0 – 6)
		An. gambiae s.s.	616	NA	21 %	± 6 % (11 – 50)	± 8 % (0 – 75)

Table 2. (below) Descriptive statistics for the physiological resistances and behavioural resistance phenotypes of the main vector species collected.

Descriptive statistics for the physiological resistances and behavioural resistance phenotypes of the main vector species collected, by area of interest. The columns 'Temporal confidence interval and range' and 'Spatial confidence interval and range' provide indicators of the variability and range of resistance around the overall mean (percentage resistant) respectively in time (i.e. variability between the entomological surveys) and space (i.e. variability between the villages). Format of these columns: standard deviation (minimum – maximum). Computation of standard deviation : to take into account the uneven sample size between entomological surveys (resp. villages) (i.e. to avoid excessive consideration of small / very small sample size), confidence intervals for temporal (resp. spatial) variability were extracted by first calculating the resistance indicator for each entomologial survey (resp. village) and then computing the standard deviation weighted by the number of mosquitoes collected in each entomologial survey (resp. village).

Exophagy rates. In the Korhogo area (IC), the overall exophagy rate (% of bites received outdoor) was 56 % for *An. gambiae s.l.* and 69 % for *An. funestus*. The exophagy rate of *An. gambiae s.l.* varied little, both amongst the entomological surveys and the villages (Temporal Standard Deviation (TSD) (see legend of Table 2 for definition) = \pm 2 %, Spatial Standard Deviation (SSD) (see legend of Table 2 for definition) = \pm 7 %). The exophagy rate of *An. funestus* was more heterogeneously distributed in time and space (TSD = \pm 7 %, SSD = \pm 16 %). In the Diebougou area (BF), the overall exophagy rate was 44 % for *An. coluzzii*, 44 % for *An. gambiae s.s.* and 35 % for *An. funestus*. For the three species, the exophagy rate varied quite sensibly among the entomological surveys (TSD = \pm 5%, \pm 7%, \pm 6% respectively) and the villages (SSD = \pm 9%, \pm 12%, \pm 8% respectively).

Early and late biting rates. In the Korhogo area (IC), the early biting rate (i.e. % of bites received before 50% 435 of the LLIN users were declared to be under their bednet at night) was 3% for An. gambiae s.l. and 13% for An. 436 funestus. The early biting rate was overall stable among the surveys and villages for An. gambiae s.l. (TSD = \pm 437 1%, SSD = \pm 2%) and was more heterogeneously distributed for An. funestus (TSD = \pm 6%, SSD = \pm 12%). The 438 late biting rate (i.e. % of bites received after 50% of the LLIN users were declared to be out of their bednet 439 in the morning) was lower than the early biting rate : 1% for both An. gambiae s.l. and An. funestus (only 4 440 late-bites for An. funestus) and was overall stable among the surveys and villages (TSD = \pm 0% and SSD = \pm 1% 441 for An. gambiae s.l.). In the Diébougou area (BF), the early biting rate was respectively 2%, 3% and 1% for An. 442 coluzzii, An. gambiae s.s. and An. funestus. The early biting rate was overall stable among the surveys (TSD = ± 443 1% for the three species) and to some extent more heterogeneous among the villages (SSD = \pm 2%, \pm 3%, \pm 444 4% respectively). The late biting rate was respectively 3%, 1% and 12% for An. coluzzii, An. gambiae s.s. and 445 An. funestus. Late biting rates were more heterogeneously distributed than early biting rates, both among the 446 surveys (TSD = \pm 3% for the three species) and villages (SSD = \pm 3%, \pm 5%, \pm 10% respectively). 447 448

Allele frequencies of kdr-e, kdr-w, ace-1 mutations. In the BF area, the allele frequency of the kdr-w 449 mutation was 90% for An. gambiae s.s. and 59% for An. coluzzii. It varied to some extent among the surveys and 450 villages (for An. gambiae s.s. : TSD = 8%, SSD = 9% ; for An. coluzzii : TSD = 5%, SSD = 8%). The allele frequency 451 of the kdr-e mutation was 4% for An. gambiae s.s. and 17% for An. coluzzii. For An. gambiae s.s., it remained low 452 among the surveys and villages (TSD = SSD = 4%) and for An. coluzzii, it varied more sensibly (TSD = 8%, SSD = 453 10%). The allele frequency of the ace-1 mutation was 21 % for An. gambiae s.s. and 2% for An. coluzzii. For An. 454 gambiae s.s, it varied sensibly among the surveys and villages (TSD = 6%, SSD = 8%), and for An. coluzzii it was 455 overall stably low (TSD = SSD = 1%). 456

⁴⁵⁷ Dependent variables excluded from the modeling process

⁴⁵⁸ Seven of the original twenty-one dependent variables were excluded before statistical modeling due to the ⁴⁵⁹ very small size of their 'resistant' class (see Table 2) :

- early-biting in BF for the three species,
- late-biting in BF for An. coluzzii and An. gambiae s.s.,
- late-biting in IC for *An. funestus*,
- ace-1 in BF for *An. coluzzii*.

464 Associations between physiological resistance and environmental variables

- 465 For the remaining five models of physiological resistance in the Diébougou area (BF), Figure 3 shows the
- 466 PDPs of the independent variables retained in the modeling workflow. For the GLMMs, numerical values of
- ⁴⁶⁷ odd-ratios, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values are provided in Additional file 4.



Figure 3. (Previous page) Results of the statistical models of probability of physiological resistance in **the malaria vectors.** For each model, the top plot shows the explanatory power (R^2) and predictive power (AUC) of respectively the GLMM and the RF model. The other plots show the predicted probabilities of collecting a resistant vector across available values of each independent variable, holding everything else in the model equal (yellow line : probability predicted by the GLMM model; green line : probability predicted by the RF model). Non-significant variables (p-value > 0.05) are not presented. Short methodological reminder : vector control and crops variables were forced-in, and the other variables were retained only if they improved the AIC of the model. In addition, for the GLMM models, the other variables were plotted only if their p-value was < 0.05. For the RF models, the predicted probability (i.e. green line) was plotted only if the AUC of the model was > 0.6 and the range of predicted probabilities of resistance for the considered variable was > 0.05. In these plots, the y-axis represents the probability for an allele to be resistant. The red horizontal dashed line represents the overall rate of resistance (see Table 2). The p-values of the GLMMs are indicated through the stars : * : p < 0.05. ** p < 0.01. *** p < 0.001. The coloured squared at the bottom-right represents the 'family' the variables belongs to (one color for each family, see legend inside the light green frame placed on the left hand side of the plot). The grey squares distributed along the x-axis at the top and bottom of each plot represent the measured values available in the data (the darker the square, the more the number of observations) (NB : for atmospheric pressure, the values in the x-axis are centered around the mean)

Associations with variables encoding vector control interventions. No statistically significant association 469 was found between the likelihood of collecting an Anopheles carrying any of the target-site mutations and the 470 type of VC intervention (LLIN + complementary tool compared to LLIN only) within the time frame of the study. 471 However, the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking An. gambiae s.s. or An. coluzzii carrying a resistant kdr-e 472 allele increased with the time since LLIN distribution, and as well with the % of users of LLINs in the village 473 population. Noteworthy, for both species the random forest models predicted a significant linear increase in 474 the 12 first months after the distribution, and a slowdown in the increase from the 12th to the 21th month 475 after LLIN distribution. Regarding the others target-site mutations (kdr-w or ace-1), the likelihood of collecting a 476 host-seeking Anopheles carrying them did not increase with the time since LLIN distribution. 477 478

Associations with variables encoding crops. No statistically significant association was found between the
 likelihood of collecting a host-seeking Anopheles carrying any of the target-site mutations and the % of land scape occupied by crop fields (cotton, rice, or other crops) in a 2 km-wide buffer area around the collection point.

Associations with variables encoding micro-climate at the time (hour) of foraging activity. Positive associations were found between the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking *An. coluzzii* carrying the *kdr-e* mutation and atmospheric pressure, humidity and temperature at the time of collection, as well as that of collecting an *An. gambiae s.s.* carrying the *kdr-e* mutation and atmospheric pressure at the time of collection. A negative association was found between the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking *An. gambiae s.s.* carrying the *kdr-w* mutation and humidity at the time of collection.

489

490 Associations with variables encoding meteorological conditions during the month preceding collection.

Negative associations were found between the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking : *An. coluzzii* carrying the *kdr-w* mutation and cumulated rainfall, *An. gambiae s.s.* carrying the *kdr-w* mutation and both cum. rainfall and
 mean diurnal temperatures, *An. coluzzii* carrying the *kdr-e* mutation and mean nocturnal temperatures, *An. gambiae s.s.* carrying *ace-1* mutation and both mean diurnal and nocturnal temperatures during the month
 preceding collection. A positive association was found between the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking *An. coluzzii* carrying the *kdr-e* mutation and cumulated rainfall.

497

Association with variables encoding genotype for other insecticide resistance target-site mutations.
 The likelihood of collecting a host-seeking *An. gambiae s.s.* or *An. coluzzii* carrying a resistant kdr-e allele

- was negatively associated with the number of mutated *kdr-w* alleles in the collected mosquito. Conversely,
- the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking *An. gambiae s.s.* carrying a resistant *Ace-1* allele was higher in
- ⁵⁰² individuals also carrying *kdr-w* mutated alleles.

⁵⁰³ Associations between behavioural resistance phenotypes and environmental vari-₅₀₄ ables

- ⁵⁰⁵ For the remaining nine models of behavioural resistance phenotypes, Figure 4 shows the PDPs of the inde-
- ⁵⁰⁶ pendent variables retained in the modeling workflow. For the GLMMs, numerical values of odd-ratios, 95%
- ⁵⁰⁷ confidence intervals and p-values are provided in Additional file 4.





Figure 4. (Previous page) Results of the statistical models of probability of behavioural resistance **phenotypes in the malaria vectors.** For each model, the top plot shows the explanatory power (R^2) and predictive power (AUC) of respectively the GLMM and the RF model. The other plots show the predicted probabilities of collecting a resistant vector across available values of each independent variable, holding everything else in the model equal (yellow line : probability predicted by the GLMM model ; green line : probability predicted by the RF model). Non-significant variables (p-value > 0.05) are not presented. Short methodological reminder : vector control variables were forced-in, and the other variables were retained only if they improved the AIC of the model. In addition, other variables were plotted only if their p-value was < 0.05. For the RF models, the predicted probability (i.e. green line) was plotted only if the AUC of the model was > 0.6 and the range of predicted probabilities of resistance for the considered variable was > 0.05. In these plots, the y-axis represents the probability for a mosquito to be resistant. The red horizontal dashed line represents the overall rate of resistance (see Table 2). The p-values of the GLMMs are indicated through the stars *: p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. The coloured squared at the bottom-right represents the 'family' the variable belongs to (one color for each family, see legend inside the light green frame placed on the left hand side of the plot). The grey squares distributed along the x-axis at the top and bottom of each plot represent the measured values available in the data (the darker the square, the more the number of observations) (NB : for atmospheric pressure, the values in the x-axis are centered around the mean).

Associations with variables encoding vector control interventions. No statistically significant association
 was found between the likelihood of collecting an exophagic, early- or late- biting Anopheles and neither the
 type of VC intervention (LLIN + complementary tool compared to LLIN only) nor the time since LLIN distribution
 within the time frame of the study.

514

Associations with variables encoding host availability. In the Korhogo area (IC), the likelihood of exophagy of An. gambiae s.s. slightly increased with the % of the population under an LLIN at the time of collection. The likelihood of early-biting of An. gambiae s.s. increased with the % of users of LLINs in the village population. In the Diébougou (BF) area, the likelihood of exophagy of An. funestus increased with the % of the population under an LLIN at the time of collection.

Associations with variables encoding landscape. In the Korhogo area (IC), the likelihood of exophagy of
 An. funestus increased with increasing distance to the edge of the village. The likelihood of early-biting of An.
 gambiae s.s. decreased with increasing distance to the edge of the village. In the Diébougou (BF) area, the
 likelihood of exophagy of An. coluzzii increased with increasing distance to the nearest stream.

525

520

Associations with variables encoding micro-climate at the time (hour) of foraging activity. In the Korhogo
 area (IC), the likelihood of exophagy of *An. gambiae s.s.* decreased when humidity indoors increased and when
 humidity got relatively higher indoors compared to outdoors. In addition, it increased when luminosity got
 relatively higher indoors compared to outdoors. In the Diébougou area (BF), the likelihood of exophagy of *An. funestus* increased when temperature or humidity got relatively higher indoors.

531

537

Associations with variables encoding meteorological conditions on the day or night of collection. Positive
 associations were found between the likelihood of : exophagy of *An. coluzzii* and rainfall (BF area), early-biting
 of *An. gambiae s.s.* and temperature (IC area), late-biting of *An. gambiae s.s.* and both rainfall and temperature
 (IC area), late-biting of *An. funestus* and temperature (BF area). A negative association was found between the
 likelihood of exophagy of *An. gambiae s.s.* and rainfall (IC area).

Associations with variables encoding meteorological conditions during the month preceding collection.

⁵³⁹ Negative associations were found between the likelihood of : exophagy of *An. gambiae s.s.* and both cumulated ⁵⁴⁰ rainfall and mean diurnal temperatures (IC area), exophagy of *An. coluzzii* and mean nocturnal temperatures (BF area), late biting of An. gambiae s.s. and mean nocturnal temperature (IC area). A positive association was

- ⁵⁴² found between the likelihood of exophagy of An. gambiae s.s. and mean nocturnal temperatures (BF area).
- 543

Associations with variables encoding physiological resistances. As a reminder, the genotypes for the target-site mutations of individual collected mosquitoes were introduced as independent variables in the behavioural resistance phenotypes models in the Diébougou area (BF). Here, these variables were not retained in the variable selection procedure, i.e. no statistically significant association was found between any of the behavioural resistance indicator and *kdr-w*, *kdr-e*, or *ace-1* mutations.

Explanatory and predictive power of the statistical models

Additional figure 5 provides boxplots of observed resistance status vs. predicted probabilities by each model.

Exophagy. For the models of exophagy, the explanatory power of the GLMM models was : 'very weak' for An.
 gambiae s.s. in the Korhogo area (IC), 'moderate' for An. funestus in the Korhogo area (IC)', weak' for An. funestus,
 An. coluzzii and An. gambiae s.s. in the Diébougou area (BF). The predictive power of the RF models of exophagy
 was 'very weak' for all the species in the two study areas.

- *Early and late biting.* For the models of early biting, the explanatory power of the GLMM models was 'weak'
 for both *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. funestus* in the Korhogo area (IC). For the models of late biting, the explanatory
 power of the GLMM was 'weak' for *An. gambiae s.s.* in the Korhogo area (IC) and 'substantial' for *An. funestus* in
 the Diébougou area (BF). The predictive power of the RF models of early and late biting was 'very weak' for all
 species in the two study areas, except for the model of late biting of *An. gambiae s.s.* in the Korhogo area (IC)
 for which it was 'weak'.
- 563

Kdr-w, kdr-e, ace-1. For the *kdr-w* mutation in the Diébougou area (BF), the explanatory power of the GLMM models was 'weak' for *An. coluzzii* and 'substantial' for *An. gambiae s.s.* ; and the predictive power of the RF models was 'weak' for *An. coluzzii* and 'moderate' for *An. gambiae s.s.* For the *kdr-e* mutation in the Diébougou area (BF), the explanatory power of the GLMM models was 'substantial' for both *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae s.s.* ; and the predictive power of the RF models was 'moderate' for *An. coluzzii* and 'weak' for *An. gambiae s.s.* For the *ace-1* mutation in the Diébougou area (BF), the explanatory power of the RF models was 'moderate' for *An. coluzzii* and 'weak' for *An. gambiae s.s.* For the *ace-1* mutation in the Diébougou area (BF), the explanatory power of the GLMM models was 'weak' for *An. gambiae s.s.* ; and the predictive power of the RF model was 'moderate' for *An. coluzzii* and 'weak' for *An. gambiae s.s.* For the *ace-1* mutation in the Diébougou area (BF), the explanatory power of the GLMM models was 'weak' for *An. gambiae s.s.* ; and the predictive power of the RF model was 'very weak'.

571 Discussion

In this data mining exercice, we studied indicators of physiological and behavioural resistance phenotypes 572 of several malaria vectors in rural West-Africa at a fine spatial scale (approximately the extent of a health 573 district), using longitudinal data collected in two areas belonging to two different countries, respectively 27 574 and 28 villages per area, and across 1.25 to 1.5 year. The objectives were to describe the spatial and temporal 575 heterogeneity of vector resistance, and to better understand their drivers, at scales that are consistent with 576 operational action. To our knowledge, our work is the first studying the heterogeneity of vector resistance at 577 such fine spatial scale with such a large dataset of mosquito collection and potential drivers of resistance. In 578 this discussion, we first use our results to provide elements of answers to the questions raised in introduction 579 of this article. We then discuss some implications of the findings for the management of vector resistance in 580 our areas. 581

⁵⁸² Physiological resistances: potential drivers and spatiotemporal heterogeneity

The main drivers of physiological resistances are insecticides, used either in public health for vector control or 583 in agriculture (see Introduction). In this study, we found that the probability of collecting a host-seeking An. 584 gambiae s.s. or An. coluzzii in the Diébougou area carrying a kdr-e resistant allele significantly increased with 585 both the time since LLIN distribution (up to 12 months after distribution) and the % of LLIN users in the village 586 population. PermaNet 2.0 LLINs have been shown to retain their insecticidal efficacy under field conditions for 587 at least one year after distribution (Diènontin, Alfa, et al., 2023; Kavedi et al., 2017; Kilian et al., 2008; Tan et al., 588 2016), exerting high selective pressure on vectors over this period at least. In contrast, there was no significant 589 association between any of the target-site mutations and any of the crop-related variable. Altogether, This 590 could indicate that within the spatiotemporal frame of our study, the selection of the kdr-e mutation in 591 the vector population was more likely due to insecticides used in public health than pesticides used 592 in agriculture. In Burkina Faso, pesticides are widely used for cotton and sugar cane (Ouedraogo et al., 2011), 593 but only in lesser proportions in market gardening and cereal production (maize and rice are the only cereals 594 that are treated to a significant extent (MERSI et al., 2016)). Here, in the 2-km wide buffer zones around our 595 collection points crops occupied up to 40 % of the total land, but were mainly made of leguminous crops, millet. 596 sorghum, with cotton and rice being only marginally present. Hence, pesticides are likely not much used (field 597 surveys regarding the use of pesticides by the farmers could confirm this hypothesis). This could explain the 598 absence of association between target-site mutations and the crops-related variables. Noteworthy, the fact that 599 there was no increase in the probability of collecting an *An. gambiae s.l.* carrying a *kdr-e* resistant allele 12 600 months post-LLIN distribution, as indicated by the RF model, could be attributed to a potential decrease in 601 LLIN insecticidal efficacy after this period (Tan et al., 2016), resulting in lower selection pressure. Finally, the 602 kdr-w and ace-1 mutations did not increase significantly with the time since LLIN distribution. The absence of 603 increase of the kdr-w mutation may be explained by its very high baseline allelic frequencies ; while that of the 604 ace-1 mutation may be explained by the type of insecticide used to impregnate the LLINs - deltamethrin, which 605 does not select the ace-1 mutation. 606

607

The statistical models captured many associations between the likelihood of collecting a physiologically 608 resistant Anopheles and the variables encoding weather, both during the month preceding collection and 609 at the hour of collection. These associations could traduce biological costs/advantages associated with 610 target-site mutations, both in terms of fitness and activity, as found elsewhere for other mosquito species 611 (Kliot and Ghanim, 2012). Regarding fitness, we found that the likelihood of collecting a host-seeking mosquito 612 (An. gambiae s.s. or An. coluzzii) carrying a mutated allele, overall, decreased (to varying extents depending 613 on the species and mutation) when diurnal or noctural temperatures during the month preceding collection 614 got higher, i.e. in the hottest periods of the year (corresponding to the months of March-April). Carrying a 615 kdr mutation might be associated with a decreased propensity to locate optimal temperatures, potentially 616 resulting in a decreased longevity, fecundity, or ovarian development rates (Foster et al., 2003). Regarding 617 activity, we observed that the likelihood of collecting a mosquito carrying a mutated allele (for the kdr-e 618 mutation) decreased when atmospheric pressure, humidity, or temperature at the hour of collection got lower 619 ; implying that mosquitoes carrying the kdr-e mutation could be less active in colder or drier conditions, or 620 when atmospheric pressure is lower. Noteworthy, our results could also be interpreted in terms of fitness 621 advantages instead of fitness costs: for instance, some studies have highlighted fitness advantages (e.g. for 622 longevity) of the *kdr-w* mutation in *An. gambiae s.l.* in laboratory conditions (Alout et al., 2016; Medjigbodo, 623 Diogbénou, et al., 2021). 624

625

We also found interactions between some target-site mutations. Indeed, as the *kdr-e* and *kdr-w* are mutations of the same base pair, the allelic frequency of the *kdr-e* mutation was negatively correlated with the allelic frequency of the *kdr-w* mutation in both *An. gambiae s.s.* and *An. coluzzii*. We also found a positive relationship between the allelic frequencies of the *Ace-1* and *kdr-w* mutations in *An. gambiae s.s.* This is consistent with laboratory observations in *Culex Quinquefasciatus* and *An. gambiae
 s.s.* showing that the cost of the *Ace-1* mutation is reduced in presence of the kdr mutation (Assogba et al.,
 2014; Berticat et al., 2008; Medjigbodo, Sonounameto, et al., 2021).

633

Lastly, we observed that the allelic frequencies of the target-site mutations, within each vector species and 634 for each mutation, were overall quite stable across the villages and seasons within the spatiotemporal frame 635 of the study. At larger spatial and temporal scales, physiological resistances were found more heterogeneous 636 (Moyes et al., 2020). In our study, such homogeneity might be due to a relative homogeneity in space and 637 time of the main determinants of physiological resistance (access and use of insecticide-based vector control 638 interventions). The quite stable rates of physiological resistance throughout the seasons might traduce the 639 fact that the possible fitness costs/advantages are likely rather limited, within the range of meteorological 640 conditions in our area. 641

Behavioural resistance phenotypes: potential drivers and spatiotemporal heterogene ity

An important and pending question is the genetic (constitutive) or plastic (inducible) nature of behavioural resistances (see Introduction). In this study, we found no statistically significant association between any of the indicators of behavioural resistance phenotypes and neither the time since LLIN distribution nor the VC tool implemented. There was hence no evidence of growing frequencies of behavioural resistances (exophagy, early- and late-biting) in response to vector control within the 1.25 to 1.5 years of this study, i.e. **no clear indication of constitutive resistance**.

Nonetheless, comparison of the exophagic phenotype rates found here with those of previous studies in 651 the same countries, suggests that there may still be a genetic component to mosquito foraging behaviour. 652 Indeed, the exophagy rates measured here tended to be higher than those historically reported for these 653 species. For example, a recent review of An. gambiae s.l. \textcolor{LimeGreen}{biting behaviour from a range 654 of African countries between 2000 and 2018 concluded that during this time period, ~ 80% of the vectors bite 655 occured indoor (all countries included) and in particular ~ 75% in Burkina Faso} (Sherrard-Smith et al., 2019) 656 \textcolor{LimeGreen}(hence respectively ~ 20% and 25% outdoor). Here we measured substantially higher 657 levels of exophagy : 44% (range ~ 18-56%) in the Diébougou (BF) area and 56% (44–60%) in the Korhogo (IC) 658 area. Other recent studies, contemporaneous to ours, have found relatively high levels of exophagy for An. 659 gambige s.l. in rural areas, e.g. 54% in southwestern Burkina Faso} (Sanou et al., 2021) \textcolor{LimeGreen}{or 660 55% in Ivory Coast (Assouho et al., 2020). Such high levels of outdoor biting, in comparison with past levels, 661 suggest that behavioural adaptations may be ongoing in the study areas, most probably in response to the 662 widespread and prolonged use of insecticide-based vector control tools.} 663

664

We also found many statistically significant associations between the likelihood of collecting a behaviourally resistant phenotype and the meteorological conditions during the month preceding collection. This might indicate that these phenotypes could be induced by past environmental conditions, acting at the adult or larval stage, or through paternal/maternal effect. Such relationships between environmental condition at the larval stage and adult behaviour have indeed been observed in other insects [Müller et al. (2016), and ref cited in).

The hypothesis of a hereditary component in the behaviour of malaria vectors (at least for the biting hour) is supported by a recent study which has observed, for Anopheles arabiensis in Tanzania, that F2 from early-biting F0 (grandmothers) were - to some extent - more likely to bite early than F2 from mid or late-biting F0 (Govella et al., 2023). Under this hypothesis, the relationship between the prevalence of behaviourally resistant phenotypes and the meteorological conditions during the month preceding collection could indicates a cost/advantage, at the adult, larval or both stages, of their associated genotypes.

In our study, the absence of significant association between the probability of behavioural resistances and 678 insecticide-related variables might be due to the relatively short length of the study (2 years). In a similar study 679 conducted in another region of Burkina Faso over a two-year period as well, researchers recorded, as we have, 680 no changes in the biting behaviour of *Anopheles gambiae s.l.*, including early biting, exophagy, and exophily, 681 throughout the duration of the study (Sanou et al., 2021). Although resistance phenotypes can emerge in this 682 time frame (Moiroux, Gomez, et al., 2012), a recent (almost) 4-years-study in Tanzania (Kreppel et al., 2020) 683 detected shifts in vector behaviour (i.e. increased rate of exophily for *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus*) 684 that could be obscured in shorter-term surveys, in agreement with the hypothesis that mosquito behaviours 685 are likely complex multigenic traits (Main et al., 2016) and might therefore respond slowly to selection (at 686 least, slower than target-site mutations, which are linked to single genes and may hence respond rapidly 687 and efficiently to selection). Anyhow, the results of these various longitudinal studies suggest that long-term 688 monitoring of vector behaviour (> 2 years), particularly in areas with a long history of use of insecticides in 689 public health, is critical to better understand the biological mechanisms underlying behavioural resistances. 690 to potentially assess their development rate, and more broadly to assess residual malaria transmission risk 691

⁶⁹² (Durnez and Coosemans, 2013; Kreppel et al., 2020; Sanou et al., 2021).

693

677

Weather can impact the fitness of possible genotypes associated with behavioural resistant phenotypes, 694 but may also directly influence the time and location of foraging activity (see Introduction for more details). 695 Here, we found many associations between mosquito host-seeking behaviour and variables representing 696 meteorological conditions on the day or at the hour of collection. For instance, the probability for an An. 697 gambiae s.s. to be collected outdoor in the Korhogo area increased when the air indoor was dry, or when 698 the air outdoor became relatively more humid than indoor. Likewise, in the Diébougou area, the probability 699 for an An. funestus to be collected outdoor increased when the air outdoor became relatively cooler than 700 indoor. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis of mosquitoes shifting from indoor to outdoor 701 host-seeking in case of desiccation-related mortality risk indoors, as observed and discussed elsewhere (Kessler 702 and Guerin, 2008; Kreppel et al., 2020; Ngowo et al., 2017). The meteorological conditions seemed to cause 703 not only spatial, but also temporal shifts in host-seeking activity. For instance, we found that the probability 704 of collecting a late-biting An. gambiae s.s. in the Korhogo area increased when the noctural temperature 705 increased. Several associations also suggest that some malaria vectors may modify their behaviour in response 706 to environmental variation that reduces host availability, as hypothesized elsewhere (Durnez and Coosemans, 707 2013). For instance, the likelihood of collecting an An. gambiae s.s. (in the Korhogo area) or an An. funestus (in 708 the BF area) outdoor increased at hours when people were protected by their LLINs. Likewise, the likelihood of 709 collecting an early-biting An. gambiae s.s. in the Korhogo area increased when the % of LLIN users in the village 710 increased. Altogether, all these associations suggest that in our study areas mosquito foraging behaviour 711 is driven - to a certain extent - by environmental conditions at the time of foraging activity, i.e. that 712 vectors likely modify their time or place of biting according to climatic conditions or host availability. The many 713 associations that were captured here in field conditions could be further tested experimentally, to quantify 71/ their effect more precisely and validate the underlying biological hypothesis. 715

716

Although many significant associations between environmental parameters and foraging behaviours have 717 been captured by the models, their explanatory and predictive powers were overall weak. A low explanatory 718 power can indicate either i) that variations in the dependent variable (here, individual vector resistance) are 719 only marginally caused by the independent variables or ii) that the statistical model does not capture properly 720 the true nature of the underlying relationships between the studied effect and its drivers (Karpatne et al., 721 2017) (e.g. a linear regression cannot, by definition, capture non-linear relationships that might exist in nature). 722 Here, we minimized the risk of omitting important, complex associations by using, complementarily to the 723 binomial regression model, a machine-learning model (namely a random forest) that is inherently able to 72/

capture complex patterns contained in the data if any (e.g. non-linear relationships, interactions) (Breiman, 725 2001a). Still, the models had low predictive powers. Altogether, these results indicate that very likely, despite 726 the amount, granularity and diversity of potential factors measured and introduced in the models, most of the 727 factors driving the individual host-seeking behaviours of the mosquitoes were not introduced in the models. 728 Another possibility could be that some of our independent variables did not represent the actual "reality" in the 729 field (e.g. the distance to the nearest stream is not necessary an ideal proxy for the distance to the breeding 730 site). Nevertheless, since we used a wide range of variables encoding the environmental conditions at the time 731 of foraging activity, we can hypothesize that within the spatiotemporal frame of the study, **mosquito foraging** 732 behaviour was only marginally driven by environmental variations. This leaves the floor to other factors, 733 like genetics (see above), learning, or randomness. 734 735 To test whether physiological resistance impacts the behaviour of host-seeking mosquitoes, we introduced 736 in the behaviour resistance models of An. coluzzii and An. gambige s.s. in the Diébougou area two variables 737 encoding the genotypes for respectively the kdr-w and kdr-e mutations. No statistically significant associa-738 tion was found. In other words, we could not find, in the field, a behavioural phenotype (among those 739 studied, i.e. exophagy, early- and late-biting) associated with a genotype for one of the target-site mu-740

tations. The only study, to our knowledge, having investigated the relationship between the kdr mutation and biting time or location in the field has also reported no statistically significant association between these two mechanisms of resistance to insecticide (Djènontin, Bouraima, et al., 2021). Noteworthy, in our study, there was few variabilities in the genotypes of the collected mosquitoes (i.e. few homozygote susceptible mosquitoes captured, particularly for the *kdr-w* mutation), making it unfavorable to detect assocations between physiological and behavioural resistances. In the Korhogo area, such analysis could not be performed because physiological resistance data was not available at the individual mosquito level.

Finally, we observed that the behavioural resistance phenotypes rates for each vector species in each health district were, overall, relatively homogeneous across the villages and seasons within the spatiotemporal frame of the study (as for physiological resistances). This could mean that the overall dynamics of behavioural resistance occur at broader spatial and temporal scales than those of our study. At larger scales (i.e. among countries and across years in Africa), exophagy rates of *Anopheles* mosquitoes seem, actually, to be more variable (Sherrard-Smith et al., 2019).

Implications of the findings for the management of vector resistance in the study areas

Long-lasting insecticidal nets have undoubtedly played a major role in reducing malaria cases throughout 757 Africa, thanks both to their barrier and killing effects. More locally, we highlighted the efficacy of their barrier 758 role in the Diébougou area by showing that, for their users, they prevented more than 80% of Anopheles bite 759 exposure in the area (Soma, Zogo, Taconet, et al., 2021). However, despite these successes, many studies 760 strongly suggest that the insecticides they are impregnated with are responsible for the rise of physiological 761 resistances in the malaria vectors susceptible populations (see Introduction). In our study, the positive and 762 significant associations found between the probability to collect a physiologically resistant mosquito and 763 LLIN-related variables (time since LLIN distribution, LLIN use rate) supports these findings. We also highlighted 764 that in response to an LLIN distribution, physiological resistance seems to grow quite rapidly in a susceptible 765 population. Besides the selection of physiological resistance, comparison with historical data suggests that the 766 vectors may also be progressively changing their feeding behaviour to avoid the effects of the insecticides -767 although there was no clear evidence of this in the strict context of this study. Such trends in vector resistance 768 may have an important epidemiological impact (Sherrard-Smith et al., 2019). Altogether, these results show, 769 if still necessary, that we urgently need to think more strategically about our use of insecticides in public 770 health tools in our areas. Switching to alternative insecticides, rotating or mixing insecticides, using current 771

or novel insecticides in vector control tools others than long-lasting nets, entirely removing the insecticides
from the vector control toolbox, or fostering the use of insecticidal-free tools, are all actions that could be
envisaged (Paaijmans and Huijben, 2020). Burkina Faso has, actually, distributed LLINs that mixes pyrethroid
with Piperonyl butoxide (PBO) in the last universal LLIN distribution, in 2019.

776

Here, we observed that both behavioural and physiological resistances of mosquitoes were quite stable 777 across the villages and seasons within the spatiotemporal frame of the study. This contrasts with their biting 778 rates, which was found, in another study (Taconet, Porciani, et al., 2021), highly variable across the villages, 779 seasons, and amongst the species. This calls for distinct spatio-temporal management of interventions targeted 780 at reducing human-vector contact and reducing resistance selection (both essential) in the field. While the 781 former should be highly locally tailored (i.e. specific to each village and season) (Taconet, Porciani, et al., 2021), 782 the latter, due to its stability across villages and seasons, would probably not benefit significantly from being 783 customized at these spatio-temporal scales in our areas. In other words, while resistance management plans 784 are undoubtedly urgently needed, there is no compelling evidence – in the current state of the knowledge -785 that they should be tailored at very fine scales (village, season). Noteworthy, mosquitoes were collected during 786 the dry season and at the beginning and end of the rainy season, but, for logistical reasons, not at the peak of 787 the rainy season (and therefore not at the likely peak of mosquito abundance). It would be worth collecting 788

⁷⁸⁹ mosquitoes at this season to confirm the observed resistance rates.

790 Conclusion

⁷⁹¹ In an attempt to better understand the drivers of the intensity and spatio-temporal heterogeneity of physio-

⁷⁹² logical (genotypes) and behavioural (phenotypes) resistance in malaria vectors, at the scale of a rural health

⁷⁹³ district over a period of 1.5 years, we have mainly (i) shown that resistance (both physiological and behavioural)

⁷⁹⁴ was quite homogeneous across the villages and seasons at theses scales, and (ii) hypothesized that at these

⁷⁹⁵ spatiotemporal scales, vector resistance seemed to be only marginally driven by environmental factors other

than those linked to insecticide use in current vector control. Following the distribution of LLINs, the rapid

⁷⁹⁷ widespread of physiological resistance occurring in tandem with probable lower acting behavioural adaptations,

⁷⁹⁸ are very likely contributing to the erosion of insecticide efficacy on malaria vectors. We believe that without

⁷⁹⁹ waiting to understand precisely the underlying drivers, mechanisms, and rates of selection of resistances, the

malaria control community needs to think very strategically about the use and usefulness of current and novel

⁸⁰¹ insecticide-based control interventions.

802 Acknowledgements

⁸⁰³ We thank populations of the villages for their kind support and collaboration. We also thank all the field and

⁸⁰⁴ laboratory staff for their strong commitment to the REACT project.

Abbreviations

⁸⁰⁶ AIC: Akaike Information Criterion; AUC: Area under the ROC Curve; BF: Burkina Faso; CV: Cross-Validation;

⁸⁰⁷ GLMM: Generalized Linear binomial Mixed-effect Model; GPM: Global Precipitation Measurement; HLC: Human

⁸⁰⁸ Landing Catch; IC: Ivory Coast; IRS: Indoor Residual Spraying; LLIN: Long Lasting Insecticide Nets; ML: Machine

⁸⁰⁹ Learning; MODIS: Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer; RF: Random Forest; SD : Standard Devia-

tion; SR : Spatial Resolution; SSD: Spatial Standard Deviation; TSD: Temporal Standard Deviation; TR: Temporal

⁸¹¹ Resolution; VC: Vector Control;

⁸¹² Data, scripts, code and supplementary information availability

Data and scripts are available online: https://doi.org/10.23708/LV8GEW (Taconet, D Soma, et al., 2023a)

- Supplementary information are available online: https://doi.org/10.23708/VJEEMU (Taconet, D Soma, et al.,
- 815 **2023b)**

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance for the study was granted by the National ethics committee (No. 063/MSHP/CNER-kp) in Côte d'Ivoire and by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (No. A06/2016/CEIRES) in Bukina Faso. We received community agreement before the beginning of the study, and we obtained written informed consent from all the mosquito collectors and supervisors. Yellow fever vaccines were administered to all the field staff. Collectors were treated free of charge when they were diagnosed with malaria during the study period according to WHO recommendations. They were also free to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences.

⁸²⁴ Conflicts of interest disclosure

⁸²⁵ The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to

the content of the article. Nicolas Moiroux, Frédéric Simard and Cédric Pennetier are recommenders for PCI

⁸²⁷ Zoology.

Funding

This work was part of the REACT project funded by the French Initiative 5%—Expertise France (no. 15SANIN213),
 and the ANORHYTHM project funded by the French National Research Agency (no. ANR-16-CE35-008). The
 funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the
 manuscript. PT was supported by the French Institute of Research for Sustainable Development (IRD) through

an international volunteer fellowship.

References

Alout H, RK Dabiré, LS Djogbénou, L Abate, V Corbel, F Chandre, and A Cohuet (2016). Interactive cost of

- Plasmodium infection and insecticide resistance in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. *Scientific Reports* https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29755.
- Assogba BS, LS Djogbénou, J Saizonou, P Milesi, L Djossou, I Djegbe, WA Oumbouke, F Chandre, L Baba-Moussa,
- M Weill, and M Makoutodé (2014). Phenotypic effects of concomitant insensitive acetylcholinesterase
- (ace-1 R) and knockdown resistance (kdr R) in Anopheles gambiae: a hindrance for insecticide resistance
- management for malaria vector control. *Parasites & Vectors* 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-014-0548-9.
- Assouho KF, AM Adja, N Guindo-Coulibaly, E Tia, AMN Kouadio, DD Zoh, M Koné, N Kessé, B Koffi, AB Sagna,
- A Poinsignon, and A Yapi (2020). Vectorial Transmission of Malaria in Major Districts of Côte d'Ivoire. en.
- Journal of Medical Entomology 57. Ed. by Norris D, 908–914. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjz207.
- Auguie B (2017). gridExtra: Miscellaneous Functions for "Grid" Graphics.
- Bartoń K (2020). MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference.
- Berticat C, J Bonnet, S Duchon, P Agnew, M Weill, and V Corbel (2008). Costs and benefits of multiple resistance
- to insecticides for Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 8, 104. https://doi.org/10.
- 1186/1471-2148-8-104.

- Bhatt S, DJ Weiss, E Cameron, D Bisanzio, B Mappin, U Dalrymple, KE Battle, CL Moyes, A Henry, PA Eckhoff,
- EA Wenger, O Briët, MA Penny, TA Smith, A Bennett, J Yukich, TP Eisele, JT Griffin, CA Fergus, M Lynch,
- ⁸⁵² F Lindgren, JM Cohen, CLJ Murray, DL Smith, SI Hay, RE Cibulskis, and PW Gething (2015). The effect of

malaria control on Plasmodium falciparum in Africa between 2000 and 2015. en. *Nature* 526, 207–211.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15535.

- Bolker B and D Robinson (2020). broom.mixed: Tidying Methods for Mixed Models.
- ⁸⁵⁶ Breiman L (2001a). Random forests. *Machine learning* 45, 5–32.
- ⁸⁵⁷ (2001b). Statistical Modeling: The Two Cultures. *Statistical Science* 16, 199–215.
- 858 Brooks ME, K Kristensen, KJv Benthem, A Magnusson, CW Berg, A Nielsen, HJ Skaug, M Maechler, and BM Bolker
- (2017). glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear
- Mixed Modeling. *The R Journal* 9, 378–400.
- Carnevale P, V Robert, S Manguin, V Corbel, D Fontenille, C Garros, C Rogier, and J Roux (2009). Les anophèles :
 biologie, transmission du Plasmodium et lutte antivectorielle. FRE. Didactiques. IRD. ISBN: 978-2-7099-1662-2.
- ⁸⁶³ Carrasco D, T Lefèvre, N Moiroux, C Pennetier, F Chandre, and A Cohuet (2019). Behavioural adaptations of
- mosquito vectors to insecticide control. en. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 34, 48–54. https://doi.org/10.
 1016/j.cois.2019.03.005.
- ⁸⁶⁶ Center NGESDAIS (2019). GPM IMERG Final Precipitation L3 1 day 0.1 degree x 0.1 degree V06. type: dataset.
 ⁸⁶⁷ https://doi.org/10.5067/GPM/IMERGDF/DAY/06.
- ⁸⁶⁸ Chandre F, F Darriet, S Manguin, C Brengues, P Carnevale, and P Guillet (1999). Pyrethroid cross resistance
- spectrum among populations of Anopheles gambiae s.s. from Côte d'Ivoire. ENG. *Journal of the American*
- 870 *Mosquito Control Association* 15, 53–59.
- ⁸⁷¹ Chicco D (2017). Ten quick tips for machine learning in computational biology. en. *BioData Mining* 10. https:
 ⁸⁷² //doi.org/10.1186/s13040-017-0155-3.
- ⁸⁷³ Coffinet T, C Rogier, and F Pages (2009). [Evaluation of the anopheline mosquito aggressivity and of malaria
- transmission risk: methods used in French Army]. fre. *Medecine tropicale : revue du Corps de sante colonial*69, 109–122.
- ⁸⁷⁶ Cohen J (2013). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. en. 0th ed. Routledge. ISBN: 978-1-134 ⁸⁷⁷ 74270-7. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587.
- ⁸⁷⁸ Corbel V and R N'Guessan (2013). Distribution, Mechanisms, Impact and Management of Insecticide Resistance
- in Malaria Vectors: A Pragmatic Review. en. Anopheles mosquitoes New insights into malaria vectors. https:
 //doi.org/10.5772/56117.
- Davidson G (1957). Insecticide Resistance in Anopheles Sundaicus. en. *Nature* 180, 1333–1335. https://doi.org/
 10.1038/1801333a0.
- ⁸⁸³ Diop MM, N Moiroux, F Chandre, H Martin-Herrou, P Milesi, O Boussari, A Porciani, S Duchon, P Labbé, and
- ⁸⁸⁴ C Pennetier (2015). Behavioral Cost & Overdominance in Anopheles gambiae. en. *PLOS ONE* 10. Ed. by
- Lazzari CR, e0121755. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121755.
- ⁸⁸⁶ Diop MM, F Chandre, M Rossignol, A Porciani, M Chateau, N Moiroux, and C Pennetier (2021). Sub-lethal ⁸⁸⁷ insecticide exposure affects host biting efficiency of Kdr-resistant Anopheles gambiae. en. *Peer Community*
- Journal 1, e28. https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.15.
- ⁸⁸⁹ Djènontin A, D Alfa, A Bouraima, C Soares, A Dahounto, S Cornélie, M Egrot, G Damien, F Remoué, AB Sagna,
- N Moiroux, and C Pennetier (2023). Durability of the deltamethrin-treated polypropylene long-lasting net
- LifeNet® in a pyrethroid resistance area in south western Benin: A phase III trial. *PLOS ONE* 18. Ed. by Thet Wai K, e0291755. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0291755.
- ⁸⁹³ Djènontin A, A Bouraima, C Soares, S Egbinola, and G Cottrell (2021). Human biting rhythm of Anopheles
- gambiae Giles, 1902 (Diptera: Culicidae) and sleeping behaviour of pregnant women in a lagoon area in
 Southern Benin. *BMC Research Notes* 14, 200. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-021-05615-7.

- ⁸⁹⁶ Durnez L and M Coosemans (2013). Residual Transmission of Malaria: An Old Issue for New Approaches. en. In:
- Anopheles mosquitoes New insights into malaria vectors. Ed. by Manguin S. InTech. ISBN: 978-953-51-1188-7.
- ⁸⁹⁸ https://doi.org/10.5772/55925.
- ⁸⁹⁹ Foster SP, S Young, MS Williamson, I Duce, I Denholm, and GJ Devine (2003). Analogous pleiotropic effects of
- insecticide resistance genotypes in peach-potato aphids and houseflies. en. *Heredity* 91, 98–106. https:
 //doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800285.
- Friedman JH and BE Popescu (2008). Predictive learning via rule ensembles. en. *The Annals of Applied Statistics* 2,
 916–954. https://doi.org/10.1214/07-AOAS148.
- ⁹⁰⁴ Gatton ML, N Chitnis, T Churcher, MJ Donnelly, AC Ghani, HCJ Godfray, F Gould, I Hastings, J Marshall, H
- Ranson, M Rowland, J Shaman, and SW Lindsay (2013). THE IMPORTANCE OF MOSQUITO BEHAVIOURAL
- ADAPTATIONS TO MALARIA CONTROL IN AFRICA. en. *Evolution* 67, 1218–1230. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.
 12063.
- Govella NJ, PCD Johnson, GF Killeen, and HM Ferguson (2023). *Heritability of biting time behaviours in the major African malaria vector Anopheles arabiensis*. Tech. rep. 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04671-7.
- ⁹¹⁰ Greenwell BM (2017). pdp: An R Package for Constructing Partial Dependence Plots. *The R Journal* 9, 421–436.
- ⁹¹¹ GSFC PPS(AN (2019). GPM IMERG Final Precipitation L3 Half Hourly 0.1 degree x 0.1 degree V06. Type: dataset. https://doi.org/10.5067/GPM/IMERG/3B-HH/06.
- Hay GJ and G Castilla (2008). Geographic Object-Based Image Analysis (GEOBIA): A new name for a new discipline.
- en. In: *Object-Based Image Analysis*. Ed. by Blaschke T. Lang S. and Hay GI. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin
- Heidelberg, pp. 75–89. ISBN: 978-3-540-77057-2 978-3-540-77058-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-77058-9 4.
- Hemingway J, H Ranson, A Magill, J Kolaczinski, C Fornadel, J Gimnig, M Coetzee, F Simard, DK Roch, CK
 Hinzoumbe, J Pickett, D Schellenberg, P Gething, M Hoppé, and N Hamon (2016). Averting a malaria disaster:
 will insecticide resistance derail malaria control? en. *The Lancet* 387, 1785–1788. https://doi.org/10.1016/
- ⁹²⁰ S0140-6736(15)00417-1.
- Hersbach H, B Bell, P Berrisford, S Hirahara, A Horányi, J Muñoz-Sabater, J Nicolas, C Peubey, R Radu, D Schepers,
 A Simmons, C Soci, S Abdalla, X Abellan, G Balsamo, P Bechtold, G Biavati, J Bidlot, M Bonavita, G Chiara,
- P Dahlgren, D Dee, M Diamantakis, R Dragani, J Flemming, R Forbes, M Fuentes, A Geer, L Haimberger,
- S Healy, RJ Hogan, E Hólm, M Janisková, S Keeley, P Laloyaux, P Lopez, C Lupu, G Radnoti, P Rosnay, I Rozum,
- F Vamborg, S Villaume, and J Thépaut (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. en. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 146, 1999–2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803.
- Hien AS, DD Soma, O Hema, B Bayili, M Namountougou, O Gnankiné, T Baldet, A Diabaté, and KR Dabiré (2017).
- Evidence that agricultural use of pesticides selects pyrethroid resistance within Anopheles gambiae s.l. populations from cotton growing areas in Burkina Faso, West Africa. en. *PLOS ONE* 12. Ed. by Carvalho LH,
- e0173098. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173098.
- Holstein M (1952). Biologie d'Anopheles gambiae : recherches en Afrique-Occidentale Française. fre. Monographies OMS 9. Genève: OMS.
- ⁹³³ Karpatne A, G Atluri, JH Faghmous, M Steinbach, A Banerjee, A Ganguly, S Shekhar, N Samatova, and V Kumar
- (2017). Theory-Guided Data Science: A New Paradigm for Scientific Discovery from Data. *IEEE Transactions*
- on Knowledge and Data Engineering 29, 2318–2331. https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2017.2720168.
- ⁹³⁶ Kayedi MH, K Khamisabadi, AA Haghdoost, Z Kayedi, S Fallahi, and N Abdali (2017). Short and long term
- evaluation of the efficiency of permanet® 2.0 bed net against environmental factors and washing using
- bioassay tests. *Revista Do Instituto De Medicina Tropical De São Paulo* 59 (0). https://doi.org/10.1590/s1678 9946201759018.
- ₉₄₀ Kessler S and PM Guerin (2008). Responses of Anopheles gambiae, Anopheles stephensi, Aedes aegypti, and
- ⁹⁴¹ Culex pipiens mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) to cool and humid refugium conditions. en. *Journal of Vector*
- *Ecology* 33, 145–149. https://doi.org/10.3376/1081-1710(2008)33[145:ROAGAS]2.0.CO;2.

Kilian A, W Byamukama, O Pigeon, F Atieli, S Duchon, and C Phan (2008). Long-term field performance of 943 a polyester-based long-lasting insecticidal mosquito net in rural uganda. Malaria Journal 7 (1). https: 944 //doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-7-49. 945 Killeen GF (2014). Characterizing, controlling and eliminating residual malaria transmission. Malaria Journal 13, 946 330. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-330. 947 Kirby M and S Lindsay (2004). Responses of adult mosquitoes of two sibling species, Anopheles arabiensis and A. 948 gambiae s.s. (Diptera: Culicidae), to high temperatures. en. Bulletin of Entomological Research 94, 441-448. 949 https://doi.org/10.1079/BER2004316. 950 Kliot A and M Ghanim (2012). Fitness costs associated with insecticide resistance: Fitness cost and insecticide 951 resistance. en. Pest Management Science 68, 1431–1437. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3395. 952 Kreppel KS, M Viana, BJ Main, PCD Johnson, NJ Govella, Y Lee, D Maliti, FC Meza, GC Lanzaro, and HM Ferguson 953 (2020). Emergence of behavioural avoidance strategies of malaria vectors in areas of high LLIN coverage in 954 Tanzania. en. Scientific Reports 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71187-4. 955 Labbé P, JP David, H Alout, P Milesi, L Djogbénou, N Pasteur, and M Weill (2017). Evolution of Resistance to 956 Insecticide in Disease Vectors. en. In: Genetics and Evolution of Infectious Diseases. Elsevier, pp. 313–339. ISBN: 957 978-0-12-799942-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-799942-5.00014-7. 958 Lockwood JA, TC Sparks, and RN Story (1984). Evolution of Insect Resistance to Insecticides: A Reevaluation 959 of the Roles of Physiology and Behavior. en. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of America 30, 41-51. 960 https://doi.org/10.1093/besa/30.4.41. 961 Long JA (2020). *itools: Analysis and Presentation of Social Scientific Data*. 962 Main BJ, Y Lee, HM Ferguson, KS Kreppel, A Kihonda, NJ Govella, TC Collier, AJ Cornel, E Eskin, EY Kang, CC 963 Nieman, AM Weakley, and GC Lanzaro (2016). The Genetic Basis of Host Preference and Resting Behavior in 964 the Major African Malaria Vector, Anopheles arabiensis. en. PLOS Genetics 12. Ed. by Zwiebel LJ, e1006303. 965 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006303. 966 Martinez-Torres D, F Chandre, MS Williamson, F Darriet, IB Berge, AL Devonshire, P Guillet, N Pasteur, and 967 D Pauron (1998). Molecular characterization of pyrethroid knockdown resistance (kdr) in the major malaria 968 vector Anopheles gambiae s.s. en. Insect Molecular Biology 7, 179–184. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-969 2583.1998.72062.x. 970 Medjigbodo AA, LS Djogbénou, OY Djihinto, RB Akoton, E Abbey, RM Kakossou, EG Sonounameto, EBJ Salavi, L 971 Diossou, and A Badolo (2021). Putative pleiotropic effects of the knockdown resistance (L1014F) allele on the 972 life-history traits of Anopheles gambiae. Malaria Journal 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-04005-5. 973 Medjigbodo AA, EG Sonounameto, OY Djihinto, E Abbey, EB Salavi, L Djossou, A Badolo, and LS Djogbénou 974 (2021). Interplay Between Oxytetracycline and the Homozygote kdr (L1014F) Resistance Genotype on 975 Fecundity in Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) Mosquitoes. Journal of Insect Science 21. Ed. by Jurenka 976 R. https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieab056. 977 MERSI, CNRST, and IRSS (2016). Utilisation des pesticides agricoles dans trois régions à l'ouest du Burkina Faso 978 et évaluation de leur impact sur la santé et l'environnement: cas des Régions de la Boucle du Mouhoun, 979 des Cascades et des Hauts-Bassins. 980 Moiroux N (2012). Modélisation du risque d'exposition aux moustiques vecteurs de Plasmodium spp. dans un 981

₉₈₂ contexte de lutte anti-vectorielle. 2012MON20177. PhD thesis.

Moiroux N, AS Bio-Bangana, A Djènontin, F Chandre, V Corbel, and H Guis (2013). Modelling the risk of being

bitten by malaria vectors in a vector control area in southern Benin, west Africa. *Parasites & Vectors* 6, 71.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-71.

Moiroux N, A Djènontin, AS Bio-Bangana, F Chandre, V Corbel, and H Guis (2014). Spatio-temporal analysis of

⁹⁸⁷ abundances of three malaria vector species in southern Benin using zero-truncated models. en. *Parasites* &

⁹⁸⁸ *Vectors* 7, 103. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-7-103.

Moiroux N, MB Gomez, C Pennetier, E Elanga, A Diènontin, F Chandre, I Diègbé, H Guis, and V Corbel (2012). 989 Changes in Anopheles funestus biting behavior following universal coverage of long-lasting insecticidal 990 nets in Benin. eng. The Journal of infectious diseases 206, 1622–1629. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis565. 991 Moiroux N, C Pennetier, RK Dabiré, and A Koffi (2023). REACT project (Burkina Faso and Côte d'Ivoire, 2016-2018): 992 study sites information. https://doi.org/10.23708/IX5Z7U. 993 Moyes CL, DK Athinya, T Seethaler, KE Battle, M Sinka, MP Hadi, J Hemingway, M Coleman, and PA Hancock 994 (2020). Evaluating insecticide resistance across African districts to aid malaria control decisions. en. Proceed-995 ings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 22042–22050. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2006781117. 996 Müller T, CL Küll, and C Müller (2016). Effects of larval versus adult density conditions on reproduction and 997 behavior of a leaf beetle. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 70, 2081–2091. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-998 016-2212-1. 999 Nakagawa S and H Schielzeth (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R^2 from generalized linear 1000 mixed-effects models. en. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4. Ed. by O'Hara RB, 133–142. https://doi.org/10. 1001 1111/i.2041-210x.2012.00261.x. 1002 Ngowo H, E Kaindoa, J Matthiopoulos, H Ferguson, and F Okumu (2017). Variations in household microclimate 1003 affect outdoor-biting behaviour of malaria vectors. English. Wellcome Open Research 2, 102–102. https: 1004 //doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.12928.1. 1005 Njan Nloga A, V Robert, J Toto, and P Carnevale (1993). La durée du cycle gonotrophique d'Anopheles moucheti 1006 varie de trois à guatre jours en fonction de la proximité par rapport aux gites de ponte. FRE. Bulletin de 1007 Liaison et de Documentation - OCEAC 26, 69–72. 1008 Ouedraogo M, A M., T Z., and P I. (2011). Pesticides in Burkina Faso: Overview of the Situation in a Sahelian 1009 African Country, en. In: Pesticides in the Modern World - Pesticides Use and Management, Ed. by Stovtcheva M. 1010 InTech. ISBN: 978-953-307-459-7. https://doi.org/10.5772/16507. 1011 Paaijmans KP and S Huijben (2020). Taking the 'l' out of LLINs: using insecticides in vector control tools other 1012 than long-lasting nets to fight malaria. en. Malaria Journal 19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-3151-x. 1013 Pedersen TL (2019). patchwork: The Composer of Plots. 1014 PNLP (2014a). Directives nationales pour la prise en charge du paludisme dans les formations sanitaires du 1015 Burkina Faso. Ministère de la Santé/Burkina Faso. 1016 (2014b). Programme National de Lutte Contre le Paludisme en Côte d'Ivoire. 2014. Plan stratégique national 1017 de lutte contre le paludisme 2012–2015 (période replanifiée 2014–2017). Approche stratifiée de mise à 1018 l'échelle des interventions de lutte contre le paludisme en Côte d'Ivoire et consolidation des acquis. Abidjan: 1019 Ministère de La Santé et l'Hygiène Publique. 149 p. 1020 Porciani A, M Diop, N Moiroux, T Kadoke-Lambi, A Cohuet, F Chandre, L Dormont, and C Pennetier (2017). 1021 Influence of pyrethroïd-treated bed net on host seeking behavior of Anopheles gambiae s.s. carrying the 1022 kdr allele. en. PLOS ONE 12. Ed. by Favia G, e0164518. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0164518. 1023 QGIS Development Team (2021). QGIS Geographic Information System. QGIS Association. 1024 R Core Team (2018). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for 1025 Statistical Computing. 1026 Ranson H, B Jensen, JM Vulule, X Wang, J Hemingway, and FH Collins (2000). Identification of a point mutation 1027 in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene of Kenyan Anopheles gambiae associated with resistance to DDT 1028 and pyrethroids, en. Insect Molecular Biology 9, 491–497, https://doi.org/10.1046/i.1365-2583.2000.00209.x. 1029 Reid MC and FE McKenzie (2016). The contribution of agricultural insecticide use to increasing insecticide 1030 resistance in African malaria vectors. en. Malaria Journal 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1162-4. 1031 Riveron JM, M Tchouakui, L Mugenzi, BD Menze, MC Chiang, and CS Wondji (2018). Insecticide Resistance in 1032 Malaria Vectors: An Update at a Global Scale. en. In: Towards Malaria Elimination - A Leap Forward. Ed. by 1033 Manguin S and Dev V. InTech. ISBN: 978-1-78923-550-0 978-1-78923-551-7. https://doi.org/10.5772/ 1034 intechopen.78375. 1035 RStudio Team (2020). RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. Boston, MA: RStudio, PBC. 1036

- Sanou A, L Nelli, WM Guelbéogo, F Cissé, M Tapsoba, P Ouédraogo, N Sagnon, H Ranson, J Matthiopoulos,
 and HM Ferguson (2021). Insecticide resistance and behavioural adaptation as a response to long-lasting
 insecticidal net deployment in malaria vectors in the Cascades region of Burkina Faso. en. *Scientific Reports*
- ¹⁰⁴⁰ 11, 17569. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96759-w.
- Sherrard-Smith E, JE Skarp, AD Beale, C Fornadel, LC Norris, SJ Moore, S Mihreteab, JD Charlwood, S Bhatt,
 P Winskill, JT Griffin, and TS Churcher (2019). Mosquito feeding behavior and how it influences residual
 malaria transmission across Africa. en. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116, 15086–15095.
- 1044 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1820646116.
- ¹⁰⁴⁵ Shmueli G (2010). To Explain or to Predict? en. *Statistical Science* 25, 289–310. https://doi.org/10.1214/10-STS330.
- ¹⁰⁴⁶ Shmueli G and O Koppius (2010). Predictive Analytics in Information Systems Research. en. *SSRN Electronic* ¹⁰⁴⁷ *Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1606674.
- ¹⁰⁴⁸ Snow RW and HM Gilles (2002). The epidemiology of malaria. *Essential malariology* 4.
- Sokhna C, MO Ndiath, and C Rogier (2013). The changes in mosquito vector behaviour and the emerging
 resistance to insecticides will challenge the decline of malaria. en. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 19,
 902–907. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12314.
- Soma D, B Zogo, P Taconet, K Mouline, LPA Alou, RK Dabiré, AA Koffi, C Pennetier, and N Moiroux (2023).
 Anopheles collections in the health districts of Korhogo (Côte d'Ivoire) and Diébougou (Burkina Faso)
- 1054 (2016-2018). en. Type: dataset. https://doi.org/10.15468/V8FVYN.
- ¹⁰⁵⁵ Soma, B Zogo, P Taconet, A Somé, S Coulibaly, L Baba-Moussa, GA Ouédraogo, A Koffi, C Pennetier, KR Dabiré,
- and N Moiroux (2021). Quantifying and characterizing hourly human exposure to malaria vectors bites to
- address residual malaria transmission during dry and rainy seasons in rural Southwest Burkina Faso. en.
 BMC Public Health 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10304-y.
- Soma, B Zogo, A Somé, BN Tchiekoi, DFdS Hien, HS Pooda, S Coulibaly, JE Gnambani, A Ouari, K Mouline,
 A Dahounto, GA Ouédraogo, F Fournet, AA Koffi, C Pennetier, N Moiroux, and RK Dabiré (2020). Anopheles
 bionomics, insecticide resistance and malaria transmission in southwest Burkina Faso: A pre-intervention
- study. en. *PLOS ONE* 15, e0236920. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236920.
- Taconet P, RK Dabiré, and N Moiroux (2023). Land use land cover very high resolution map (1.5-m) for the area of
 Diébougou, Burkina Faso, 2018. https://doi.org/10.23708/ARSJNB.
- Taconet P, A Koffi Amanan, and N Moiroux (2023). *Land use land cover very high resolution map (1.5-m) for the area of Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire, 2018.* https://doi.org/10.23708/MTF4S8.
- Taconet P, A Porciani, DD Soma, K Mouline, F Simard, AA Koffi, C Pennetier, RK Dabiré, M Mangeas, and
 N Moiroux (2021). Data-driven and interpretable machine-learning modeling to explore the fine-scale
 environmental determinants of malaria vectors biting rates in rural Burkina Faso. en. *Parasites & Vectors* 14.
- https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-04851-x.
- Taconet P, DD Soma, B Zogo, K Mouline, F Simard, AA Koffi, RK Dabiré, C Pennetier, and N Moiroux (2023).
 Anopheles sampling collections in the health districts of Korhogo (Côte d'Ivoire) and Diébougou (Burkina)
- ¹⁰⁷³ Faso) between 2016 and 2018. *Gigabyte*. https://doi.org/10.46471/gigabyte.83.
- Taconet P, D Soma, B Zogo, K Mouline, F Simard, A Koffi Amanan, RK Dabiré, C Pennetier, and N Moiroux
- (2023a). Replication data and script for the manuscript "Physiological and behavioural resistance of malaria
 vectors in rural West-Africa : a data mining study to adress their fine-scale spatiotemporal heterogeneity, drivers,
- and predictability". Version VERSION PROVISOIRE. https://doi.org/10.23708/LV8GEW.
- (2023b). Supplementary information for the manuscript 'Physiological and behavioural resistance of malaria
 vectors in rural West-Africa : a data mining study to adress their fine-scale spatiotemporal heterogeneity, drivers,
 and predictability'. Version VERSION PROVISOIRE. https://doi.org/10.23708/VJEEMU.
- Tan KR, J Coleman, B Smith, B Hamainza, C Katebe-Sakala, C Kean, A Kowal, J Vanden Eng, TK Parris, CT Mapp,
 SC Smith, R Wirtz, M Kamuliwo, and AS Craig (2016). A longitudinal study of the durability of long-lasting
 insecticidal nets in Zambia. en. *Malaria Journal* 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1154-4.

¹⁰⁸⁴ Townson H (1993). The biology of mosquitoes. Volume 1. Development, nutrition and reproduction. By A.N.

- Clements. (London: Chapman & amp; Hall, 1992). viii 509 pp. Hard cover £50. ISBN 0-412-40180-0. *Bulletin* of *Entomological Research* 83, 307–308. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300034830.
- ¹⁰⁸⁷ Tyagi S and S Mittal (2020). Sampling Approaches for Imbalanced Data Classification Problem in Machine
- Learning. en. In: *Proceedings of ICRIC 2019*. Ed. by Singh PK, Kar AK, Singh Y, Kolekar MH, and Tanwar S.
- 1089Vol. 597. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 209–221. ISBN: 978-3-030-29406-9 978-3-030-29407-6.1090https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29407-6_17.
- ¹⁰⁹¹ Voeten CC (2020). *buildmer: Stepwise Elimination and Term Reordering for Mixed-Effects Regression*.
- Wan Z, S Hook, and G Hulley (2015a). MOD11A1 MODIS/Terra Land Surface Temperature/Emissivity Daily L3
 Global 1km SIN Grid V006. type: dataset. https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD11A1.006.
- (2015b). MYD11A1 MODIS/Aqua Land Surface Temperature/Emissivity Daily L3 Global 1km SIN Grid V006.
 type: dataset. https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MYD11A1.006.
- Weill M, C Malcolm, F Chandre, K Mogensen, A Berthomieu, M Marquine, and M Raymond (2004). The unique
 mutation in ace-1 giving high insecticide resistance is easily detectable in mosquito vectors. en. *Insect*
- ¹⁰⁹⁸ *Molecular Biology* 13, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2004.00452.x.
- ¹⁰⁹⁹ WHO (2017). WHO | Global vector control response 2017–2030.
- ¹¹⁰⁰ (2022). World malaria report 2022. en.
- Wickham H (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York. ISBN: 978-3-319-24277 4.
- 1103 (2017). *tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the 'Tidyverse'*.
- ¹¹⁰⁴ Wing MKCfJ, S Weston, A Williams, C Keefer, A Engelhardt, T Cooper, Z Mayer, B Kenkel, tRC Team, M Benesty,
- R Lescarbeau, A Ziem, L Scrucca, Y Tang, C Candan, and T Hunt (2018). *caret: Classification and Regression Training*.
- ¹¹⁰⁷ Wright MN and A Ziegler (2017). ranger: A Fast Implementation of Random Forests for High Dimensional Data ¹¹⁰⁸ in C++ and R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 77, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.i01.
- Yadouleton A, T Martin, G Padonou, F Chandre, A Asidi, L Djogbenou, R Dabiré, R Aïkpon, M Boko, I Glitho, and M
 Akogbeto (2011). Cotton pest management practices and the selection of pyrethroid resistance in Anopheles
 gambiae population in Northern Benin. en. *Parasites & Vectors* 4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-60.
- Yan Y (2016). *MLmetrics: Machine Learning Evaluation Metrics*.
- ThatThatThatThatCausal Interpretations of Black-Box Models. en. Journal of Business & EconomicThatStatistics 39, 272–281. https://doi.org/10.1080/07350015.2019.1624293.
- Zogo B, AA Koffi, LPA Alou, F Fournet, A Dahounto, RK Dabiré, L Baba-Moussa, N Moiroux, and C Pennetier
- (2019). Identification and characterization of Anopheles spp. breeding habitats in the Korhogo area in
 northern Côte d'Ivoire: a study prior to a Bti-based larviciding intervention. eng. *Parasites & Vectors* 12, 146.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3404-0.
- Zogo B, DD Soma, BN Tchiekoi, A Somé, LP Ahoua Alou, AA Koffi, F Fournet, A Dahounto, B Coulibaly, S Kandé,
 RK Dabiré, L Baba-Moussa, N Moiroux, and C Pennetier (2019). *Anopheles* bionomics, insecticide resistance
 mechanisms, and malaria transmission in the Korhogo area, northern Côte d'Ivoire: a pre-intervention
- study. *Parasite* 26, 40. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2019040.